

WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE TASKFORCE AGENDA

Port Blakely Conference Room
619 Division Street, Port Orchard, WA
Thursday, October 9, 2008 4:30-6:30 PM
(Meeting notes from 9/15 and 9/18 attached)

I. Welcome, Introductions

II. Continue Prioritization Process.

The Taskforce has reviewed the Urban Growth Areas and divided each UGA into "sectors" or parts of the UGA that appear likely to be further developed at one time. Proposed sewer facilities (those mapped by the Taskforce earlier this year) could be developed as a group. This identification of sectors was in response to the first of four questions guiding the effort to prioritize development of wastewater facilities in each UGA. These questions are as follows:

1. What are the sectors in each UGA?
2. What facility(s) in each sector should receive public funding attention?
3. What funding source(s) apply?
4. What is the sequence of sector development?

At this meeting we will work on questions 2 and 3. Eric and Angie volunteered to provide initial *DRAFT* answers to questions 2 and 3 recognizing that it is likely easier for the Taskforce to react and modify proposed answers in view of the rather large volume of work involved. The *DRAFT* answers, grouped as a useful matrix that provides space for notes on our eventual answers to question 4, are attached for the Taskforce's "homework" review prior to the October 9th meeting. The very important maps that show the sector boundaries are *not* included here (they are rather large). They, of course, will be available at the meeting.

Special Note: Before hitting the "print" button, recognize the matrix is 25 pages long.

III. "Next Steps" and Additional Meeting Dates (*Bring you calendar!*)

Determining the priority (or sequence/planned time frame) for extension of sewer service (i.e., construction of sectors) within each UGA will likely be challenging. An exercise to clarify our group's shared and differing values/principles may be useful as an initial step in answering question 4. It would clearly be useful in preparing of our report to the County Commissioners. This proposal will be discussed

An extra meeting in October and an extra meeting in November may be necessary. It would be helpful to schedule those as part of this meeting.

IV. Adjourn

-0-

wastewater infrastructure taskforce

meeting notes – September 15, 2008

The meeting started at 4:35 p.m. with the following persons present:

Barbara Zaroff	Eric Baker
Tom Donnelly	Angie Silva
Betsy Cooper	Ken Attebery
Art Castle	Karmen Martin
Keith Grellner	Mike Sharar
Tom Martin	

Welcome and Agenda Review:

Overview of agenda

Overview of Prioritization Concepts

The text in the agenda was briefly mentioned and there were no comments.

The “Parking Lot” contains the commitment “Phasing is an open issue when we get to it.” This includes discussion about the size of UGAs. For the purposes of the work at hand, the size of the UGAs as currently designated will be used with the understanding that more discussion will follow before preparing final recommendations.

We will endeavor to not become too mired in discussions of the shape of the areas – can revisit later.

Areas within UGAs will be called “sectors” using descriptive names (geographic), not numbers/letters so that no prioritization order is implied.

Four questions that may encompass the overall effort are as follows:

1. What are the sectors in each UGA?
2. What facility(s) in each sector should receive public funding attention?
3. What funding source(s) apply?
4. What is the sequence of sector development?

Overview of Prioritization Process

Start with Silverdale and Central Kitsap UGAs.

Identify health priority areas to help inform prioritization process. The Group identified the following (in order of priority):

1. Tracyton – CK
2. Illahee – CK
3. University Point – CK
4. Island Lake - Silverdale
5. Erlands Point “rural” – outside UGA, south of Silverdale

Identify existing interceptors to help inform prioritization process. The Group identified the following (in order of priority):

1. Old Military Road – CK
2. Bucklin Hill – CK
3. Chico Way – Silverdale
4. Old Town – Silverdale
5. Island Lake – Silverdale
6. McWilliams - CK

Principles – recognize agreements and investment committed to future development of purple pipe infrastructure and allow to influence sewer planning

Questions to ask for each UGA:

1. Which sectors are there?
2. What facility(s) in each sector should receive public funding attention?
3. What funding sources should apply?
4. What is the sequence of sector development (within entire UGA)
 - a. Note: not time-related (i.e., a before b, or a w/ b, or b if a.....)
 - b. Note: not as critical if it is private-developer driven (no public funding)

Central Kitsap (CK) Sectors - Group Recommendations (Question 1)

Gilberton Ravine

- Low priority for public investment for wastewater infrastructure

Tracyton Urban Low (TUL)

- Boundary generally follows zoning
- SW CK UGA, South of Riddell Rd.
- Includes Tracyton Health Priority Area.

John Carlson Urban Low (JCUL)

- Boundary generally follows zoning
- NE CK UGA, also includes higher density development and areas of potential septic tank concern
- Contains University Point Health Priority Area

303 Mixed Use Corridor

- Between TUL and JCUL

Mosher Creek Urban Restricted (MCUR)

- Boundary generally follows zoning

Gilberton Urban Restricted (GUR)

- Boundary generally follows zoning

Steel Creek Urban Restricted (SCUR)

- Boundary generally follows zoning

Barker – Foster

- Urban low and urban restricted zoning

Fairgrounds Mixed

- Limited development potential
- Includes Fairgrounds

Windy Pt Urban Low

- On extreme west

Rolling Hills

N. Illahee

- Illahee Health Priority Area

S. Illahee

Silverdale Sectors - Group Recommendations (Question 1)

Chico

- South of Newberry Hill Road
- Encompasses area slated for private development
- Funded with non-public resources
- Purple pipe potential

Dickey

- Low potential for public funding

- Industrial - active gravel pit
- Urban Restricted area to north – will be developer-driven

Provost

- South of NW Westgate Road
- Mixed Use

Downtown Silverdale

- Bounded by Hwy 303 to NE
- Regional Commercial, Urban Restricted

Schold Farm

- Bounded by HWY 303 to west
- Urban Restricted, Park

East Bucklin

- Urban Low, Urban Medium

Ridgetop

- Significant existing development – infill/developer-driven

Island Lake

- Health priority area

N. Island Lake

Future Agendas/Order of Consideration for Remaining Areas:

Review of Accomplishments:
Silverdale and CK sectors

Next Thursday: S. Kitsap sectors

Develop sector tables to address questions 2 and 3.

-0-

wastewater infrastructure taskforce

meeting notes – september 18, 2008

The meeting started at 4:35 p.m. with the following persons present:

Art Castle
Tom Donnelly
Barbara Zaroff
Angie Silva
Ron Ross

Ned Lever
Betsy Cooper
Keith Grellner
Eric Baker
Tom Nevins

Mike Sharar
Spencer Easton
Karmen Martin

Welcome/Introductions:

Meeting began with a summary of the September 15th meeting, including the effort to draw “sectors” in the Central Kitsap and Silverdale UGAs. The four general questions presented at the September 15th meeting were reviewed – these questions may serve as a guide for encompassing the overall effort. Handouts provided of the four general questions and possible tables for encapsulating the group’s recommendations were provided. These tables will be refined, and cells populated, as the process continues.

Overview of Prioritization Process

The group decided to move ahead on the prioritization process started on September 15th by making sector recommendations for the remaining UGAs. It was decided to start with the Bremerton & Gorst UGA as no representative from South Kitsap had arrived yet. The group decided to focus on Question 1 (“What are the sectors in each UGA?”) for the remaining UGAs, rather than proceed to Questions 2 and 3 for CK and Silverdale. Staff will come back to the taskforce with characteristics of the sectors in order to have a more fruitful discussion of funding.

Bremerton & Gorst Sectors – Group Recommendations

Rocky Point

- Area of health concern
- Proposed pump station
- Underutilized
- Infill potential
- Zoned for urban-low and urban-medium

West Hills

- Area of potential health concern
- Zoned for urban-medium, urban-low, and industrial

NYC North

- Commercial uses
- Potential uses
- New lines

NYC South

- Existing lines
- Mostly repair and maintenance

Sand Dollar

- Proposed

Sinclair View

- Existing

Lockhart & Gorst

- Mixed zoning: highway/tourist, urban-low, and urban-restricted
- Includes a master planned development

South Kitsap Sectors – Group Recommendations

McCormick West

- Developer-driven
- Urban cluster

Figley

- Developer-driven

McCormick Town Center

- Developer-driven

McCormick North

- Developer-driven

McCormick East

- Developer-driven

Sidney Sedgwick

- Developer-driven

McCormick Golf District

- Developer-driven

Port Orchard Industrial Park

- Industrial node
- West of 16
- Partnering potential with Bremerton

Discussion: West Sound broken into subdistricts based on drainage/flow characteristics. The group decided to hold the discussion on the rest of South Kitsap until the subdistricts can be reviewed for applicability to this effort.

Kingston Sectors – Group Recommendations

Arborwood/Taree

- Developer-driven

Jefferson Point

The Lagoon

Kingston Hill

- Existing
- All on sewer
- Built out

Carpenter Lake/Urban-Restricted

- Little expectation for development

School Sector

- Three schools
- Already sewerred

Bond Road South

- Developer-driven

Thriftway Commercial

- Includes apartments
- Already sewerred

Village Green

Ohio

- Includes the watershed

Washington

- Steep and unstable

- Won't be developed

Old Town

- Infill
- Redevelopment

Appletree Cove

South Kitsap Industrial Area (SKIA) Sectors – Group Recommendations

Olympic View Industrial Park (OVIP)

- Existing infrastructure
- Public funding for treatment upgrade
- Developer-driven

Airport

- Bremerton National Airport

Northeast SKIA

- Large lot
- Developer-driven

Lake Flora

- Developer-driven
- Possible public/private partnership
- Commercial/industrial zoning with some residential zoning
- Area approximate as drawn depending on who ultimately provides sewer service (i.e. Bremerton or West Sound)

Southeast SKIA

- Developer-driven
- Possible public/private partnership
- Commercial/industrial zoning with some residential zoning
- Area approximate as drawn depending on who ultimately provides sewer service (i.e. Bremerton or West Sound)

Future Agendas/Additional Business:

Review of Accomplishments:

Sectoring for Bremerton & Gorst, South Kitsap, Kingston, and SKIA. With Silverdale and CK sectors completed September 15, all UGAs are now sectored except for a portion of South Kitsap (see previous discussion note).

Next meeting:

Staff will look at these sectors and work on their characteristics and answers for questions 2 & 3 (“What facilities in each sector should receive public funding attention?” and “What funding sources apply?”) so the taskforce can answer question 4 (“What is the sequence of sector development?”). This can be ready in two weeks. It was decided to keep October 9th as the date of the next meeting with a possibility of meeting earlier (October 2nd) if it works with the rest of the group.

Kitsap Wastewater Infrastructure Taskforce
Sewering Worksheet - UGA

UGA Name: Bremerton & Gorst

List the “sectors” in this UGA and indicate the sequence of their development.

SECTOR	CHARACTERISTICS
Rocky Point	Area of health concern; proposed pump station; underutilized; infill potential; zoning as urban-low and urban-medium
West Hills	Area of potential health concern; zoning urban-medium, urban-low, and industrial
NYC North	Commercial uses; potential uses, new lines
NYC South	Existing lines, mostly repair and maintenance
Sand Dollar	Proposed
Sinclair View	Existing
Lockhart & Gorst	Mixed zoning, highway/tourist, urban-low, and urban-restricted; includes a master plan development.

Kitsap Wastewater Infrastructure Taskforce
Sewering Worksheet - UGA

UGA Name: Kingston

List the “sectors” in this UGA and indicate the sequence of their development.

SECTOR	CHARACTERISTICS
Arborwood/Taree	Developer-driven
Jefferson Point	
The Lagoon	
Kingston Hill	Existing; all on sewer; built out
Carpenter Lake/Urban Restricted	Little expectation for development
School Sector	Three schools, already sewered
Bond Road South	Developer-driven
Thriftway Commercial	Includes apartments, already sewered
Village Green	
Ohio	Includes the Watershed
Washington	Steep, unstable, won't be developed
Old Town	Infill, redevelopment
Appletree Cove	

Kitsap Wastewater Infrastructure Taskforce
Sewering Worksheet - UGA

UGA Name: South Kitsap Industrial Area (SKIA)

List the “sectors” in this UGA and indicate the sequence of their development.

SECTOR	CHARACTERISTICS
Olympic View Industrial Park	Existing infrastructure; public funding for treatment upgrade; developer driven; potential for public funding
Airport	Bremerton National Airport
Northeast SKIA	Large lot; developer-driven
Lake Flora	Developer-driven; possible public/private partnership; commercial/industrial zoning with some residential zoning; area approximate as drawn depending on who ultimately provides sewer service (i.e. Bremerton or West Sound)
Southeast SKIA	Developer driven, possible public/private partnership; commercial/industrial zoning with some residential zoning area; approximate as drawn depending on who ultimately provides sewer service (i.e. Bremerton or West Sound)

Kitsap Wastewater Infrastructure Taskforce
Sewering Worksheet - UGA

UGA Name: South Kitsap

List the “sectors” in this UGA and indicate the sequence of their development.

SECTOR	CHARACTERISTICS
McCormick West	Developer-driven; urban cluster
Figley	Developer-driven
McCormick Town Center	Developer-driven
McCormick North	Developer-driven
McCormick East	Developer-driven
Sidney Sedgwick	Developer-driven
McCormick Golf District	Developer-driven
Port Orchard Industrial Park	Industrial node, W of 16, partnering potential w/ Bremerton