

**10 YEAR UPDATE  
KITSAP COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**



**VOLUME I: Draft Comprehensive Plan Policy Document  
Supplemental Errata Sheet**

Listed below is a supplemental errata/correction sheet for Volume I: Draft Comprehensive Plan Policy Document. The supplemental errata sheet is in addition to the Volume I errata sheet presented on September 18, 2006 public hearing. Items noted below are intended to improve clarity and consistency between Volumes I through III, as well as provisions within the Growth Management Act (GMA).

| No. | Section / Chapter       | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments   |
|-----|-------------------------|----------|---|--|
| 1.  | Chapter 1. Introduction | 1-5      | <p>Consistent with the current GMA, update GMA goals for economic development, permits and open space to read as follows:</p> <p>Economic development. Encourage economic development throughout the state that is consistent with adopted comprehensive plans, promote economic opportunity for all citizens of this state, especially for unemployed and for disadvantaged persons, <u>promote the retention and expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, recognize regional differences impacting economic development opportunities, and encourage growth in areas experiencing insufficient economic growth, all within the capacities of the state's natural resources, public services, and public facilities.</u></p> <p>Permits. Applications for both state and local government permits <del>shall</del> <u>should</u> be processed in a timely and fair manner to ensure predictability.</p> <p>Open space and recreation. <del>Encourage the retention of</del> <u>Retain</u> open space, <del>and development of</del> <u>enhance</u> recreational opportunities, conserve fish and wildlife habitat, increase access to natural resource lands and water, and develop parks <u>and recreation facilities.</u></p> | <p>The goals listed on page 1-5 were carried forward from the adopted 1998 Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan. GMA was recently amended at the time that Economic Development and Parks Elements were added to the list of required plan elements, and at that time, the companion goals were updated. The 1998 adopted plan used a “shall” under the permits goal that appears as “should” in the adopted GMA text.</p> |

| No. | Section / Chapter       | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments   |
|-----|-------------------------|----------|---|--|
| 2.  | Chapter 1. Introduction | 1-10     | <p><b>Port Orchard/South Kitsap Sub-Area Citizen Advisory Group Meetings.</b> <u>Through a Inter-local Agreement (ILA), Kitsap County has been working with the city of Port Orchard since 2003.</u> A Citizens Advisory Group (CAG) was <u>also</u> formed in August 2003 and concluded its recommendations in December 2005. The CAG also reviewed various aspects of the Sub-Area, including different development scenarios, existing information on public services and facilities, and land capacity; and provided input and comment on the Sub-Area Plan policies and alternative UGA boundaries. The CAC has also hosted two public open houses to share its findings related to existing conditions data and to seek input on alternative UGA boundaries. The CAC has held multiple public meetings and has taken public comment at each of these meetings. The City of Port Orchard Planning Commission held a public meeting on the draft Sub-Area Plan in Winter 2006. The Port Orchard City Council held a public meeting and made a recommendation on the draft Sub-Area Plan in April 2006. The Kitsap County Planning Commission held a hearing on the draft sub-area plan in early 2006.</p> | Clarifies that an ILA was established with the City of Port Orchard for the Port Orchard/South Kitsap Sub-Area Plan. |

| No. | Section / Chapter      | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments  |
|-----|------------------------|----------|---|---|
| 3.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use | 2-13     | <p>Second Paragraph.</p> <p>In 2004, the County amended the 2002 BLR Report to <del>adopt</del> to <u>identify</u> a set of “reasonable measures” meant to help increase consistency between actual development and that envisioned in the County’s Plan. The County recognized 18 reasonable measures already existing in Kitsap County Code (KCC) and existing sub-area planning documents, in Resolution No. 158-2004. In 2005, the KRCC identified a “menu” of 46 “reasonable measures” to encourage urban growth and increase residential development capacity in existing UGAs (i.e., to promote infill development) for jurisdictions to consider during their comprehensive plan updates, in compliance with RCW 26.70A.215. A measure the County put in place after the recent adoption of the 18 reasonable measures includes allowing plats of up to nine lots through an administrative short plat process.</p> | Clarifies the language included in Resolution 158-2004. |

| No. | Section / Chapter      | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments  |
|-----|------------------------|----------|---|---|
| 4.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use | 2-16     | <p><b>Central Kitsap UGA</b></p> <p>The Central Kitsap UGA is located between Dyes Inlet to the west and Port Orchard Bay to the east, and is generally southeast of the Silverdale UGA and north of the City of Bremerton and its UGAs. The Central Kitsap UGA includes approximately 6,600 gross acres under DEIS Alternative 2. It has a predominantly suburban character, with commercial uses concentrated along SR 303, some multi-family residential uses, and a predominance of single-family residential uses. The UGA includes the Illahee community. The Central Kitsap UGA is currently unassociated with an incorporated jurisdiction. The City of Bremerton, through its adopted comprehensive plan, has shown interest in the future annexation of this UGA. The close proximity of this UGA and East Bremerton UGA requires close coordination of planning between these two urban areas.</p> <p>As many issues remain about the provision of urban services, revenue sharing and coordinated annexation of the area, the County will pursue association of the Central Kitsap UGA with the City of Bremerton concurrently with the negotiation of an UGAMA. <u>As encouraged under the CPPs</u>, the development of this agreement shall be consistent with policies LU-26 through LU-29 in 2007/2008.</p> | Recognizes the CPPs support of establishing UGAMAs. |

| No. | Section / Chapter      | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments  |
|-----|------------------------|----------|---|---|
| 5.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use | 2-16     | <p><b>East Bremerton UGA</b><br/> The East Bremerton UGA includes two unincorporated areas located adjacent to the eastern portion of the City of Bremerton. The two UGAs are located between the Central Kitsap UGA and city boundaries, and total approximately 1,300 gross acres under Alternative 2. The East Bremerton UGA is primarily suburban in character, with a majority of its land in single-family residential use and some commercial development along the SR 303 corridor. The East Bremerton UGA was associated with the City of Bremerton in the adoption of the 1998 Comprehensive Plan. Through future annexations, it is expected that much of this UGA will become part of the City over the next 20 years.</p> <p><u>As provided under the CPPs,</u> the County will pursue a UGAMA with the city to address this transfer of jurisdiction to ensure consistent development patterns between the City and UGA. The issues to be included in these UGAMA negotiations are contained in policies LU-26 through LU-29.</p> | Recognizes the CPPs support of establishing UGAMAs. |

| No. | Section / Chapter      | Page No.          | Proposed Correction/Revision   | Comments  |
|-----|------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| 6.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use | 2-16<br>&<br>2-17 | <p><b>West Bremerton UGA</b></p> <p>The West Bremerton UGA includes three unincorporated areas located adjacent to the western portion of the City of Bremerton (one of these is a pocket of unincorporated area surrounded by land that is part of the city). Together, these areas total approximately 1,100 gross acres under Alternative 2. The Rocky Point portion of the UGA is primarily single-family residential in character. The Navy Yard City/National Avenue portion of the UGA contains commercial and industrial uses along with small lot single-family residential development. The western pocket of the UGA (surrounded by city land) is predominantly residential. The West Bremerton UGA was associated with the City of Bremerton in the adoption of the 1998 Comprehensive Plan. Through future annexations, it is expected that much of this UGA will become part of the City over the next 20 years.</p> <p><u>As provided under the CPPs,</u> the County will pursue a UGAMA with the City to address this transfer of jurisdiction to ensure consistent development patterns between the City and UGA. The issues to be included in these UGAMA negotiations are included in policies LU-26 through LU-29.</p> | Recognizes the CPPs support of establishing UGAMAs. |

| No. | Section / Chapter      | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments  |
|-----|------------------------|----------|---|---|
| 7.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use | 2-17     | <p><b>Port Orchard/South Kitsap UGA</b></p> <p>The Port Orchard/South Kitsap UGA includes several non-contiguous areas adjacent to the City of Port Orchard. The largest of these is located east of City boundaries and extends northeast from the City along Sinclair Inlet, includes land along Mile Hill Drive, and considerable area to the south and east of the City, as well as some areas west of the city. The UGA also includes two pockets of unincorporated area adjacent to City boundaries in the western Port Orchard area. The UGA totals approximately 9,200 gross acres under DEIS Alternative 2. The character of the majority of the UGA is suburban, with suburban style commercial centers along the arterial corridors of Bethel Road, Sidney Road, and Mile Hill Drive, and primarily single-family residential development in other areas. The portion of the UGA northeast of the City offers views of Sinclair Inlet. The pockets of the UGA located west and southwest of the City are primarily industrial and commercial.</p> <p>The Port Orchard/South Kitsap UGA was associated with the City of Port Orchard at the time of adoption of the 1998 Comprehensive Plan. Through future annexations, it is expected that much of this UGA will become part of the City over the next 20 years. <u>Per the CPPs</u>, the County will pursue a UGAMA with the City to address this transfer of jurisdiction to ensure consistent development patterns between the city and UGA. The issues to be included in these UGAMA negotiations are included in policies LU-26 through LU-29. Goals and policies related to Port Orchard/South Kitsap UGA can be found in Chapter 13.</p> | Recognizes the CPPs support of establishing UGAMAs. |

| No. | Section / Chapter                          | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision   | Comments   |
|-----|--|----------|--|--|
| 8.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use                     | 2-19     | Policy LU-15 <u>Within UGAs</u> , encourage the use of alternative sanitary sewer techniques that such as package plants, membrane and drip systems and/or community drainfields, in areas where other sewer provision is not financially feasible.  | Encourages that alternative sewer technology within urban areas are designed at urban densities. |
| 9.  | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use                     | 2-22     | Policy LU-33 Docket and consider Plan amendments and related amendments to regulations comprehensively consistent with RCW 36.70A.130 <u>and 36.70A.</u>   | Recognizes remaining GMA statutory requirements for comprehensive plan amendments.               |
| 10. | Chapter 2.<br>Land Use                     | 2-40     | Policy LU-133 Implement development regulations to manage stormwater to: a) protect human life <u>and health</u> ; b) protect private and public property and infrastructure; c) protect resources such as shellfish beds, eelgrass beds, kelp, marine and freshwater habitat and other resources; d) prevent the contamination of sediments from urban runoff; and e) achieve standards for water and sediment quality by reducing and eventually eliminating harm from pollutant discharges. | Ensures consistency between Title 9 of the Kitsap County Code and the Comprehensive Plan.        |
| 11. | Chapter 3.<br>Rural &<br>Resource<br>Lands | 3-7      | Policy RL-12 Prohibit extension of sanitary sewer service in the rural area “except in those limited circumstances shown to be necessary to protect basic public health and safety and the environment and when such services are financially supportable at rural densities and do not permit urban development” (RCW 36.70A.110(4)) <u>or as otherwise allowed by GMA.</u>   | Recognizes remaining GMA statutory requirements for urban and rural services.                    |

| No. | Section / Chapter                 | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision   | Comments  |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----------|--|---|
| 12. | Chapter 13.<br>POSK Sub-Area Plan | 13-1     | <p>Last sentence of introductory paragraph.</p> <p>The Port Orchard/ South Kitsap Preliminary Sub-Area Plan/Preliminary Final Environmental Impact Statement were released in May 2006; adoption of this Comprehensive Plan includes adoption of the <del>complete</del> Sub-Area Plan by reference <u>polices</u> (Figure 13-1 and Figure 13-2). <u>See also Policy LU-25 regarding use of Sub-are background data.</u></p>   | Clarifies to match the intent of the Comprehensive Plan format and use of prior sub-area plans.   |
| 13. | Chapter 13.<br>POSK Sub-Area Plan | 13-34    | <p>Policy POSK-259 Proposed capital improvement projects should be evaluated and prioritized using guidelines <del>using the following guidelines: identified in the Chapter 11. Capital Facilities of the Kitsap County Comprehensive.</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• Corrects existing deficiencies, replace needed facilities or provide facilities required for new growth.</del></li> <li><del>• Lessens or eliminates a public hazard.</del></li> <li><del>• Minimizes or eliminates any existing public facility deficits.</del></li> <li><del>• Is financially feasible.</del></li> <li><del>• Conforms with future land uses and needs based upon projected growth.</del></li> <li><del>• Generates public facility demands that exceed capacity increase in the 6-year schedule of improvements.</del></li> <li><del>• Has a detrimental impact on the local budget.</del></li> </ul> | Ensures consistency with Comprehensive Plan (Chapter 11: Capital Facilities, Policy CF-21, page 11-14) and the Port Orchard/South Kitsap Sub-Area Plan. |

| No. | Section / Chapter                    | Page No. | Proposed Correction/Revision  | Comments  |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|---|---|
| 14. | Chapter 14. Silverdale Sub-Area Plan | 14-2     | Governance. <u>Within the 20-year planning horizon, We Silverdale</u> will be a self-governing city.  | Clarifies that Silverdale is currently an unincorporated jurisdiction and under the legislative authority of Kitsap County until incorporation as the City of Silverdale. |
| 15. | Appendix A. Capital Facilities Plan  | 107      | Table SD.3: Capital Facilities Projects & Financing 2007-2012 (All Mounts Times \$1,000). Replace with updated 6-year stormwater Capital Improvement Projects that includes additional fish barrier removal projects. | Ensures consistency between the most recent version of the stormwater's 6-year capital improvement plan.  |
| 16. | Appendix A. Capital Facilities Plan  | 116-126  | Table TR.3: Capital Facilities Projects & Financing 2007-2012 (All Mounts Times \$1,000). Replace with updated Transportation Improvement Projects.   | Ensures consistency between the recent version of the transportation's 6-year capital improvement plan.   |