MINUTES
KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
CITY OF POULSBO CHAMBERS
June 16, 2014 5:30 P.M.

These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County’s Website at http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pr/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).

The Kitsap County Planning Commission met on the above-stated date at the City of Poulsbo Chambers, 200 NE Moe Street, Poulsbo, WA.

Members present: Lou Foritano, Tom Nevins, Jim Svensson, Jim Sommerhauser, Erin Leedham, Karanne Gonzalez-Harless, Linda Paralez and Joe Phillips

Members absent: Linda Rowe

Staff present: Darren Gurnee, David Greetham and Planning Commission Secretary Karen Ashcraft

5:35
A. Call Meeting to Order, Introductions

5:36
B. A motion is made by Commissioner Sommerhauser and seconded by Commissioner Svensson to adopt the Agenda.

The Vote:
Yes: 8
No: 0
The motion carries.

5:38
C. A motion is made by Commissioner Sommerhauser and seconded by Commissioner Leedham to approve the minutes for June 3, 2014 as submitted.

The Vote:
Yes: 8
No: 0
The motion carries.

5:40
D. Continuation of Public Hearing: Kitsap County Sign Code (KCC 17.445), Introduction by Darren Gurnee, Planner, Planning & Environmental Programs, DCD

- Slide Show Presentation
- Illumination Sign Demonstration

Commissioner Sommerhauser requested an updated Matrix of the Public Comments.
Chair Foritano inquired about “Grandfathering” of signs allowed previously. Discussion is held.
5:49
Chair Foritano: Re-Open the Public Hearing continued from June 3, 2014.
5:50
Gail Rase (see attached comments #1)
6:00
Discussion is held regarding “Chainsaw Bears.”
Darren Gurnee stated that Kitsap County Parks Department is responsible for Park signs. Also, the definition of “art work” is not included in this Sign Code.
Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired if the animals, i.e. cows, chickens, etc. at Mattress Ranch are Art Work? Gail Rase responded that the animals at Mattress Ranch are art work.

Scott Hall is the manager of Peterson Farm and a member of the Kitsap County Agriculture Alliance. He stated that the interim Sign Code is working for them. He thanked staff for their hard work.
Commissioner Nevins inquired about the A-Frame signs in the rural area. Scott Hall responded that A-Frame signs should be limited in duration. For instance, a special event or a farm stand selling fruits and vegetables uses an A-Frame when open and then takes it down following the event.

Pat DuVall (see attached comments #2)
6:05
Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired about three-day event sign. Is it taken down each evening? Pat DuVall stated that Eglon doesn’t have three day events. Eglon has a Wednesday Beach Night; we put the sign out on Monday and take it down on Thursday. We would like to leave it out longer.
Darren Gurnee stated the sign can be out 14 days prior to the event.
Chair Foritano stated that there are many signs on the corners near the Hansville Community Center.
Darren Gurnee stated that other than special events, community event signs located in the Right-of-Way can be discussed at deliberations.

Jerry Darnall is the owner of Triple JJJ farm. Agri signs are important to have out all of the time, whether selling eggs, piglets or hay. These signs are for community awareness of what the farms are selling on a daily basis. “A farm stand that is open from 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. daily and putting the sign up and down (each day) it just doesn’t make sense.”
Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired about illuminated agri signs.
Darren Gurnee stated that content of signs are not regulated, but lighting of signs are. Proposed language in conditionally exempt signage prohibits lighted A-frame signs.
Greg Patterson (see attached comments #3)

Commissioner Sommerhauser asked if residential zoning within the Urban Growth Area (UGA) has a limited lighting area – On at 6:00 AM and off at 10:00 PM. Is the church on Ridgetop Boulevard inside the Silverdale Urban Growth Area (UGA)?

Darren Gurnee stated that inside the UGA there are no lighting restrictions.

Jeff Offenbacker is concerned about the regulation of electronic signs. He wants electronic signs for churches to be allowed.

Commissioner Sommerhauser stated that school is under county authority while a church isn’t, what about non-profits?

Teresa Osinski (see attached comment #4) is the Executive Vice-President of the Home Builders Association. The ad hoc committee was represented by a board range of people that truly cared about the creation of the new sign code. The public will be able to submitted written comments and/or attend a public hearing before the Board of County Commissioners to voice their concerns. The Home Builders Association represents the public, as well as the builders of Kitsap County.

Chair Foritano asked if ad hoc committee considered the rural character of the county.
Teresa Osinski stated that Kitsap community character was discussed at each meeting that she attended.

Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired about the location of development signs.

Darren Gurnee referred to the section in the code regarding off-site signage.

Discussion is held regarding the allowance of real estate/builders signs to be extended after one year and not need to re-submit a request for an extension. Further discussion is needed during deliberations.

Theresa Osinski requested that the comments from Paul Pazooki be included in the Public Comment Matrix. (Regarding allowance of wording on monument signs is higher up on the monument).

Darren Gurnee stated Mr. Pazooki’s and other comments have been received and will be included in the updated Matrix.

Ron Perkerewicz (see attached comments #5)

Commissioner Sommerhauser does the proposed code incorporate the rural character of Kitsap County?
Mr. Perkerewicz replied along his rural road multiple electronic signs would interrupt the rural character.
Commissioner Nevins asked Mr. Perkerewicz his opinion as a former planner about prohibiting electronic signs in rural Kitsap County.
Mr. Perkerewicz referenced the Kahowya school sign as an example of the potential impacts of electronic signs in the rural setting.

6:39

Bob Arper is confused about the new Sign Code regarding a church on Ridgetop. Is it in a rural zone or a commercial zone? What kind of lighting is allowed? What kind of information can be on the signs?

Chair Foritano is automatic dimming mechanism required for electronic signs?

Darren Gurnee stated the Silverdale Lutheran Church is in the Urban Growth Area (UGA), and that not all electronic signs have an auto dimmer, but those permitted would require it. Described how it worked.

6:42

Phil Pignon (see attached comments #6)
Discussion is held regarding Real Estate Signs and Political Signs.

6:46

Johnny Walker is a small business owner in Kingston. He is representing the Kitsap Patriot Tea Party, a non-partisan group. He is concerned that the draft sign code doesn’t meet the constitutional test for freedom of expression and free marketing. He wants regulation, just not over regulation.

Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired if Mr. Walker’s business is on the street? Should you be able to place a sign on the street?

Johnny Walker responded that he placed a wind sign in front of his business that increased customer awareness of his store. It isn’t clutter, its business.

Chair Foritano inquired about a constitutional test in Washington State, does the state perform this test. Is there a sign code elsewhere Mr. Walker would endorse?

Darren Gurnee cited a business owner and the City of Leavenworth case. The courts sided with the City of Leavenworth.

Chair Foritano inquired about county character.

Commissioner Phillips clarified that Leavenworth was a city, not a county.

Johnny Walker stated a desire for community character, not county.

Commissioner Sommerhauser stated that individual community character is established throughout the county. For instance Old Town Silverdale has an Ordinance establishing community character that is reflected in the sign code.
William Crabtree is in support of allowing a church to have an electronic sign. His church serves the community by offering a meal once a week. In the future, they plan to offer a warming station for those in need. Electronic signs are much easier to change (can change by using a computer).

Leon Thomas is in support of an electronic sign for his church. It would be much easier to change out the message electronically than currently having to physically change each and every letter in our sign.

Commissioner Svensson inquired if the change in the method of the sign would allow the church to change the sign in a timely manner.

Leon Thomas stated it certainly would help a great deal.

Heidi Kaster is the owner of Dragonfly Farms in Hansville. She has been in business for the past 14 years. Dragonfly Farms is open on a seasonal basis, Thursday to Sunday during the warm weather months. We have been cited for our signs by the county. We put our signs on the corner of Hansville Road; if we don’t put our sign on the corner then the community thinks we are closed. Dragonfly is a small nursery and should be considered under the agrl section of the sign code. We are not a commercial business.

Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired what type of plants at Dragonfly farms? Can we change definition of Agriculture to include a nursery?

Heidi Kaster stated veggie starts and plants for landscaping. We are not a Valley Nursery.

Darren Gurnee stated that defining agriculture would occur in another section of code, not in the sign code.

Scott Corey has been in the sign business for 48 years. This is a family business for the past 111 years. We have provided signs for all manner of people. From commercial signs for businesses, churches, schools and even garage sales.

Commissioner Svensson inquired about electronic signs that glow or flash.

Scott Corey stated that he doesn’t do electronic signs.

Commissioner Gonzalez-Harless inquired about the lighting from electronic signs always appear to be amber, are there other colors.

Scott Corey, stated that they don’t sell electronic signs.

Commissioner Phillips suggested Scott Corey review the draft Sign Code and submit a written comment.

Commissioner Sommerhauser inquired if Mr. Corey thought Mattress Ranch “cows, etc.” was art form.

Scott Corey stated that the Mattress Ranch was not anymore a distraction then a car dealership with flags flying.
Discussion is held regarding various advertising sites that can be distracting, from a truck advertisement on I-5, to advertising cows for sale or mural on a building.

Chair Foritano closed the public hearing.

Written comment may be submitted to Darren Gurnee in the Department of Community Development, 619 Division Street, Port Orchard by 4:00 P.M. June 18, 2014.

The Planning Commission's deliberations for the draft sign code will be held on Thursday, June 26th at 9:00 A.M. in the Board of County Commissioners Chambers, 619 Division Street, Port Orchard.

Commissioner Phillips requested clarification with regard to distinct sign standards for residential areas within the UGA.

Chair Foritano requested the public response matrix be distributed with the draft code to the Planning Commissioners in addition to staff's recommendation.

Commissioner Gonzalez-Harless suggested incorporating the work study comments/Planning Commission comments with new language, by highlighting the changes for review during deliberations.

Commissioner Sommerhauser requested that Kitsap County DCD define the recognized religious symbols.

Darren Gurnee expressed appreciation for the public's comments regarding the draft Sign Code.

Commissioner Nevins advised the Planning Commissioners to prepare for an all day event for the sign code deliberations.

The joint meeting between the Board of County Commissioners and Planning Commissioners is scheduled for August 13. Agenda items are requested.

Commissioner Sommerhauser has appreciated the increased response from the legal staff.

Chair Foritano: For the Good of the Order

No comment.

A motion is made by Commissioner Nevins and seconded by Commissioner Svensson to adjourn the meeting.

The Vote:

Unanimous

The motion carries.

Time of Adjournment: 7:38
Exhibits:

A. Staff Report Addendum June 6, 2014
B. 2014 Sign Code Update Title 17 Kitsap County Code May 27, 2014

MINUTES approved this _____ day of _____, 2014.

Lou Foritano, Planning Commission Chair

Karen Ashcraft, Planning Commission Secretary
Dear Ms. Ashcraft:
The following is a copy of what I sent in an e-mail to Darren Gurnee (@ 9:56 AM June 18th). These were additional comments not given in the testimony on Monday June 16th. Thank you so much for the follow-up, so that my verbal comments can make a difference.

I would like to submit the following items for public comment in regards to the Draft sign code ordinance for Kitsap County.

There are three types of signs: advertisements, informational, and Public safety/warning. Signs can be video, pictures, words, or symbols, using lighting, air, or wind (examples: inflatables, flags, banners) to enhance or make sign more visible. Advertisements or most commonly referred to as ads are **persuasive** possibly using video, pictures, symbols, lighting **with the intent to persuade** a person to purchase, want or need a **tangible item**. Second category - informational **knowledge** or **fact**. Examples would be political signs, event signs, garage/rummage sale bazaars, craft fairs, Farmer's market (this is an event limited time frame), missing pet other items desiring return or information, church signs, decorations. (Decorations are exempt as they should be as these are personal expressions). Warning or danger signs. These could be lumped into informational but have an urgency component and are for public safety. Therefore murals, paintings, carved tree trunks, decorations of visual appeal are no signs. Again these, if visible need to be in compliance with existing laws, and not illegal or posing a danger to public safety. The definition under **Definitions Sect.17.446.020 Line #30**, is not clear and does not distinguish between artwork, decorations and signs.

The role of the County government in signage should be to insure that the signage is not dangerous and does not violate someone else's rights. Example: water front views are protected, by size, height restrictions, the sign will not cause any injury or damage to property or persons, and it will not cause accidents by being a distraction. The sign code needs to be simple, clear, and affordable. It should allow for new technology, innovation, and creativity. The **content of the signage should not be restricted or limited if it is not illegal**. The content would be regulated, determined and governed under existing laws. Example: pornography, slander, copyright laws. This leads me to the next point **Section 17.446.050 Item #7 Approved**
Religious symbols. This is a violation of Church and State. I believe that the religious organization should determine the symbols that they want to use. This violates my freedom of religious expression, and is an attempt at censorship and regulating content. As stated earlier, I believe that content should not be regulated unless it is illegal. This should be stricken from the sign code. The intent and role of the County should be on the safety of the signs not the content. This could be a violation of freedom of speech. If a person does not like signage clutter, then they should move into a community that has rules and regulations by a homeowners association as its governing body.

For the sake of Public Safety, I express the need for an exemption for lighted signs/electronic signs in rural areas outside UGA's for churches, schools, or buildings such as Grange Halls, to be lit during times that events are being held, or all night. Example Klahowya Secondary School. This sign should be permitted to be lit during the school year. The new regulations for operating times of 6AM is not early enough and 10 PM is too early. I have attended functions at the school that do not get over until well after 10PM. Kids are catching buses to get to school by 6AM. This sign provides directional information, as the school is not visible from the roadway. The county does not know how well a person knows the area that might be responsible for their children to pick them up after school hours. There have been many field trips, dances, school plays, approved events held at the school by community organizations, that are outside of these approved times. For example I remember picking up my child after 1 AM in the morning, from a band field trips. The same applies to churches, grange halls, or other rural structures used for events such as parties, weddings, meetings. These should be exempted to keep the signage lit for public safety. I find flashing signs, electronic signs, balloons, inflatable, wind signs can be at times annoying, but unless there is a public safety component that is being violated, it should be considered a freedom of personal expression. The same applies for exemptions if it is necessary for public safety.

My last comment relates to the fees. This is not discussed in the draft. Will these fees change and is the public involved in the process?

Thank you for your consideration,

Gail Rase

Bremerton, WA 98312
Dear Public Officials:

I am writing to express concerns about the revision of the Kitsap County Sign Code Ordinance Article 17. I would first like to express my gratitude for the time, effort, and energy that many individuals have put into this DRAFT proposal. However, I am appalled that this code applies to my private property, or all properties, as I was told at the public hearing on Tuesday June 3rd, 2014. My first recommendation is to limit the sign code to the highway right-of-ways, and easements. Do not include my private property or anyone else's for that matter. A second recommendation is that the Kitsap County Parks should be exempt, as are the cities and other urban areas. There are already ordinances by these governing bodies. Any signage or project that volunteers are working on in the County Parks goes through a County Representative for approval. This statement by a co-volunteer sums up my position. "I interpreted the law to be referring to signage along roads. Think of all the thousands of signs on toilets, trails, sheds, fences, and other non-traffic areas that are in the county. There's no way these signs could be included." However, in this draft proposal, which is very extensive these types of signs are indeed included.

There is another problem with the draft code, in that the definition for a sign does not differentiate artwork. In this draft all artwork are signs, but as anyone can testify not all signs are a work of art. Here are some examples of signs. A residence on Northlake Way in Bremerton, has been very creative. When the property's trees were cut down, the property owner had the stumps chain saw carved into various pieces of art work. There are 4 tree stumps that have been done in this fashion. The homeowner takes pride in these and this last winter 2013 had them coated with a preservative to keep their appearance beautiful. In this new DRAFT these are signs by the definition Sect 17.446.020 Line 30. They draw attention to the property and they are permanent. A friend of mine in Port Orchard, just erected a homestead log archway. Here are his comments to me:

"I suppose the new log arch-way, sign, and gate at the entrance of my driveway, (that I've worked so hard on), is now illegal? My sign reads:

Glenwood Ranch
Homestead May 1886

It all looks great and is an engineering marvel if I do say so myself. I wonder if they will put me in jail?"

Perfectly acceptable freedom of speech as defined in the Washington State and Federal Constitutions. Yet, by the new County sign code these would be regulated, measured, possibly fined for non compliance. Or to erect one would require permits, engineers, inspectors to sign off certifying that all aspects of the law have been fulfilled.
To be perfectly honest, I do not see what is so terribly wrong with the existing sign code ordinance. Yes, the code might need some modification as technology changes. Yes, I agree that companies that are in the business to provide signs, banners, and other advertising methodologies need clear guidelines to inform their clients. **However, the proposed ordinance has way too many definitions, micromanages property, eliminates freedoms of expression, and limits my freedom of speech.** The role of the County government in signage should be to insure that the signage does not violate someone else's rights. That water front views are protected, by size, height restrictions, the sign will not cause any injury or damage to property or persons, and it will not cause accidents by being a distraction. I can totally understand a company's desire to build or erect signage for a property owner or business, and not having clear guidelines, and how frustrating this could be. **The sign code needs to be simple, clear, and affordable. it should allow for new technology, innovation, and creativity.** If a person does not like signage clutter, then they should move into a community that has rules and regulations by a homeowners association as its governing body.

Respectfully yours,
Gail Rase
Bremerton, WA
Karen Ashcraft

From: Pat DuVall <patduv@centurytel.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2014 7:46 AM
To: Karen Ashcraft; David Greetham; Darren Gurnee; Robert Gelder
Subject: sign code update comments

Thank you for allowing me to resubmit my comments from the public meeting on 6/16. I received information there is no video or audio of the meeting.

My name is Pat DuVall from Eglon in North Kitsap. My concern with the sign code update is that small communities such as ours be allowed to post informational signs notifying members in our community of upcoming events. Eglon historically has posted informational signs at the corner of Eglon and Hansville roads. Our community has no outlet so everyone who lives here has to come and go via that corner. We have some who live here who do not use computers so electronic notification does not inform all.

I did read the updated sign code information provided on the internet and it was not clear to me that such signs are allowed. Some of our concerned citizens have been in contact with Commissioner Gelder on this issue for some time now. I thank him for his understanding of our concerns but would like it to be clearly stated in the new code that such community informational signs are allowed. We are very diligent in following the guidelines of providing a schedule to the county of our community events and of quick removal of the signs after an event.

The Hansville community sign which is diagonally across the road from the Eglon corner has been brought up as an option for Eglon to use in the past. There are several issues with that solution first it is not visible from the stop sign of Eglon and Hansville road and when someone would be returning to Eglon you are naturally looking where you are turning so the sign is not in your vision field. The other issue is that it is the Hansville community center sign and is only available when they are not posting events. There are many coinciding events such as Easter egg hunt, Christmas party. In our opinion that is not a workable solution to informing our neighborhood.

I want to thank Commissioner Gelder and Mr. Gurnee for quickly responding to the e-mail I sent in regard to this matter. I would like to see it stated clearly in the new sign code that such community informational signs are allowed so we can continue to inform our neighbors of community events.

Thank you, Pat DuVall
Thanks. My comments were pretty much summarized as:

Illuminated signs are only going to be allowed at .5 foot candles above ambient light—does this mean the signs will need to adjust as the ambient light changes?
Also, I want to ensure that these codes will only not apply to private property, only to commercial displays.

Another note, which I didn’t stress Monday night—I REALLY hope the approval of all this doesn’t take too long. I certainly understand the need for precision and trying to keep everyone satisfied, but this is something that has been a very long time in coming. My church has been trying to get final approval for an electronic message sign for a while. First it was probably going to be ok, then it wasn’t—very frustrating for us and the sign company.

V/r,

Greg Patterson

H (360) 307-0115
C (360) 551-3795
W(360) 315-5128
Facebook/The Hometown Band
Twitter: @TheHometownBand

On Wed, Jun 18, 2014 at 2:53 PM, Karen Ashcraft <KAshcraft@co.kitsap.wa.us> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your attendance at the Planning Commission Public Hearing on Monday evening and giving your comments. Unfortunately, I was unable to capture your comments on an audio device, so I am giving you the opportunity to send me your remarks to be included in the minutes for that meeting.

Please send your comment to me by Friday, June 20th.

Thank you for your response,
Karen Ashcraft, Clerk to the Hearing Examiner

Kitsap County Department of Community Development

614 Division Street MS-36

Port Orchard, WA 98366

(360) 337-4487
I think you covered it!

Teresa Osinski, CGP
Executive Vice President
Home Builders Association of Kitsap County

360-479-5778 Office
360-710-4318 Cell

"Every man owes a part of his time and money to the business or industry in which he is engaged. No man has a moral right to withhold his support from an organization that is striving to improve conditions within his sphere." --Theodore Roosevelt

Upcoming HBA Events:

Don't miss out on the Early "Birdie" pricing for single and teams at our HBA Builder Classic. Register with full payment no later than June 30 and save $15.00 per player! There is also room for more golf sponsor! It would be terrific if each hole had a sponsor this year! Want to meet the members and friends of the HBA? What better way then on the golf course? This year's theme is "12th Fan - Tailgate". Please call the HBA and sponsor a hole today! Tournament is Friday, July 11.

Fall Social, September 11 at The Garage on Kitsap Way in Bremerton. 5pm. $10.00 per person with advance registration and payment (feel free to register on our website!). $15.00 at the door.
June 18, 2014

RE: proposed sign code comments

Comments included here should cover those that were made public hearing and may not necessarily be in the same order as presented.

1. There needs to be provisions included within the proposed code to allow existing approved PUD's and projects that have signed provisions that are more restrictive than the proposed code would allow. These provisions need to let those developments or projects obtain permits for signs therein compliance with the new regulations without having to go through any modifications to their prior approvals.

2. The needs to be a provision within the agricultural section that would allow the simple "hay for sale" sign to be placed on the property where the hay is created for the short duration of the haying season without having to seek approval of the county. Our farm has done this for over 30 years and have placed a sign on Central Valley road and on Highway 303 for approximately 45 days. The signs are placed on our property and not within the county right-of-way. We do not have a farm stand or any permanent structure to place signs on.

3. There does not seem to be a defined set of rules for signs with in the rural area which brings me to one of my main issues of concern and that is the electronic signs and electronic billboards or message centers. The County comprehensive plan in the rural character section 3A.1..3 discusses in many ways the one quote bears significance to the issue of signage. The quote is part of the defining of character and how it is perceived "it perhaps becomes most obvious when it is interrupted." Based on the proposed regulations I would like to take you on a drive down Central Valley road for the 4 miles between Highway 303 and Highway 308, there are:
   - one fire station
   - two schools
   - two community halls
   - two government facilities or offices
   - five churches
   - a veterinary clinic with an existing sign
   - a convenience store with an existing sign

That totals 16 potential signs that could be placed along that route which then with average spacing would be less than a quarter mile apart. That seems to me to be an interruption in the rural character of the two-lane road. If the signs are to be allowed in the rural areas then we need to be a bit creative in what we allow on them. The fallback always seems to be to have them show time and temperature intermittently with messages. How many times do we have to be told what the temperature is and what time it is. Maybe the message should be restricted to pertinent information that needs to be provided by the facility with the sign and that the time for the display change sequence be extended to reduce the frequency of the changes.

4. The one item I did neglect to bring up that was on my list of things in my original submittal is that we should give consideration to some sort of citizens group that can
help enforce sign codes and violations of the ordinance by having a quick method of
documentation that would be recognized by the staff and prosecutor. This would be
extremely helpful for those persons that tend to violate the ordinance with short-term
signs on weekends.

Ron Perkerewicz
I was nervous at the planning commission sign meeting so I don't know exactly what I said, but I found my notes and as best I recall it was something like this:

I would like to thank the planning commission for the opportunity to speak tonight and I wanted the county to know that I support the new revised sign code regarding the real estate arrow signs and limiting them.

We live in a new electronic age where people use the MLS and don't need the real estate arrow signs. I have no issues with the real estate FOR SALE sign on the property itself, but the restrictions of multiple arrow signs along every intersection and side road.

I moved here from the midwest about 3 years ago and the pictures shown on the earlier slide show of abusive signage is pretty much exactly what it looked like back there because they had no sign regulations. We had multiple real estate arrow signs on almost every intersection.

I don't know if anyone was in Poulsbo this weekend and leaving town out Finn Hill Road but I hope you saw all of the arrow signs and understand why we need these sign codes. I had planned to count the number of arrow signs on the way in to this meeting but I saw someone or the county took all of them down today, but there had to be 15 or 16 arrow signs from Liberty Bay Auto, across Viking Way and on up and over Finn Hill Road. In fact, there were 4 arrow signs in 1 place and the top of the hill a the stop light of 3 and Finn Hill. So, whatever group took them down I want to thank them.

I just want the Planning Commission to know we support this new sign code and regulations on real estate arrow signs and thank everyone for their time.

One other quick note for Darren, on that particular section for Open House signs, the note about "removed 5 days after the sale" in section F, has it been moved up to A yet? I checked today and it was still not moved.