



ADDENDUM #1 INFORMAL BID 2017-138

Kitsap County Courthouse Feasibility & Needs Assessment

TO: All Respondents
FROM: Colby Wattling, Buyer
CLOSING DATE: AUGUST 24, 2017 at 3:00PM (UNCHANGED)
REF NO.: 2017-138 RFP
DATE: AUGUST 4, 2017

The following are **questions** and **answers** asked during the August 3, 2017 site walk. Additional plans and drawings were discussed. Those will be made available online using the provided link.

<http://kitsapgov.com/purchasing/bids.htm>

Q1: Is there any historic significance to the courthouse that would merit keeping the structure?

A1: No

Q2: Was there a Master Plan done for the campus?

A2: Yes, there was a master plan done in 2009 but that study is dated and the assumptions included may not hold true now.

Q3: Is there a sizing or growth factor that the County want to use?

A3: No. Would like the consultant to identify and justify an appropriate growth factor.

Q4: Should consultants assume that the Sheriff will keep current staffing on site?

A4: Sheriff has conducted a facilities study specific to their operations which assumed a site in Central Kitsap (Silverdale) and in the North end of the County. Sheriff has discussed the study with the Board, but has not identified a timeline for moving forward with a new satellite facility.

Q5: Has there been a hazards analysis of the building material in the Courthouse?

A5: Analysis is conducted in conjunction with major remodels.

Q6: Will being chosen for the needs assessment preclude the successful firm from being able to bid the design phase?

A6: No, they will be able to participate in the next phases.

Q7: What level of importance is this to the commissioners?

A7: Very high priority with commissioners.

There are no other changes to the original specifications other than what is changed by Addendum 1.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this and all ADDENDA are required

END OF ADDENDUM #1

