ADDENDUM #2
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
2018-154

Pharmacy Benefit Manager Admin. Services

TO: All Respondents

FROM: Colby Wattling

CLOSING DATE: Tuesday, November 20, 2018 3:00 PM (UNCHANGED)

REF NO.: 2018-154 RFP

DATE: October 17, 2018

Below are questions and answers collected from submitted Letters of Intent.

1) Will both Premera and Kaiser members be managed by the PBM?
   No, Kaiser has a separate pharmacy and PBM relationship from Premera which you may assume will continue going forward.

2) Are there any specific service issues with the existing pharmacy benefit management of services?
   The existing PBM is under contract with Premera and not the County directly. This arrangement precludes the County from gaining access to its own data around acquisition pricing as well as limiting the specific clinical programs it wants to consider.

3) Please confirm whether the PBM must be licensed with the State prior to submission or it is acceptable to become licensed after submission of the RFP responses.
   Licensed prior to negotiating the contract will be adequate.

4) Is it required to have a rating with one of the listed organizations?
   • A rating service would be unusual for a private entity, so do you prefer a public PBM?
   • Are there alternative means of financial assurances that are acceptable?
   The County does not have a preference for a publicly traded PBM. We understand that many respondents may not be eligible for financial rating. The County is open to alternative methods of demonstrating financial adequacy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>Will the current formulary be provided to perform the requested disruption?</td>
<td>Yes, the County will provide to the extent the current relationship will allow us to access that information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>Will there be an additional opportunity for vendors to ask questions once the data files are provided?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td>Please clarify if question 2.m pertains to mail order prescriptions only.</td>
<td>It pertains to mail order but ideally would pertain more broadly for all prescriptions managed through the vendor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8)</td>
<td>Please clarify the intent of question 2.n. Does the question intend to ask, “In the event additional information is needed to fulfill the mail order or specialty prescription, how long does it take the PBM to outreach to the member/prescriber to obtain the information needed to process the prescription?”</td>
<td>To clarify, we want to understand your process for filling mail order prescriptions and what the timeframe generally is in tracking down missing information. We understand that your responses on this will be largely anecdotal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are no other changes to the original specifications other than what is changed by Addendum. Acknowledgement of receipt of this and all ADDENDUM is required.
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