1	KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2	Administration Building - Commissioner's Chambers
3	November 20th, 2018 @ 5:30 pm
4 5 6 7 8	These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at <a href="http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm">http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm</a> and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).
10	Members present: Kim Allen (Acting Chair), Aaron Murphy, Tom Nevins, Joe Phillips, Richard Shattuck,
11	Jim Svensson
12	Members absent: Gina Buskirk (Chair), Karanne Gonzalez-Harless (Vice Chair)
13 14	<u>Staff present</u> : Anna Bausher, Jim Bolger, Tarrah Dofelmier, Darren Gurnee, Katharine Shaffer, Robyn Readwin (Clerk)
15	5:30:30
16	Call Meeting to Order, Introductions
17	<ul> <li>Motion: Jim Svensson moves to excuse absent Planning Commissioners.</li> </ul>
18	<ul> <li>Second: Joe Phillips seconds.</li> </ul>
19	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
20	Adoption of Agenda
21	<ul> <li>A request to re-order the proposed agenda, Item G to immediately follow Item C.</li> </ul>
22	<ul> <li>Motion: Joe Phillips moves to adopt the re-ordered agenda, with Item G following C.</li> </ul>
23	<ul> <li>Second: Aaron Murphy seconds.</li> </ul>
24	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
25	Approval of Minutes
26 27	<ul> <li>Motion: Richard Shattuck moves to adopt the minutes of the 09/18/18 meeting as presented.</li> </ul>
28	<ul> <li>Second: Jim Svensson seconds.</li> </ul>
29 30	<ul> <li>Planning Commission notes this was a very complex and detailed set of minutes and appreciates the Clerk's good work.</li> </ul>
31	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
32	5:33:00
33 34	• G. Briefing: Wireless Code Update – Darren Gurnee, Department of Community Development (DCD) Planning & Environmental Programs (PEP)
35	Ms. Allen discloses that she is a consultant for the Wireless Community and has

1 2 3 4	appeared before Planning Commissions, Hearing Examiners and various Boards in multiple jurisdictions. Ms. Allen believes she can serve as a fair and impartial member of this Planning Commission, and is open to discussion, regarding whether she should not participate, if anyone wishes to do so.
5 6 7	<ul> <li>Mr. Gurnee provides a briefing on the upcoming Wireless Code Update process, which has been in progress for many years and which has included work by multiple County departments and third-party consultants.</li> </ul>
8	<ul> <li>A very technical draft has been produced, stemming from new Federal requirements</li></ul>
9	passed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC).
10	<ul> <li>Due to the nature and intensity of material presented, DCD will conduct multiple work</li></ul>
11	studies and organized outreach efforts during the public process to make information
12	easy to understand and accessible both to stakeholders and the Planning Commission.
13	<ul> <li>An updated workplan for the Planning Commission and preliminary draft for</li></ul>
14	stakeholders' review are expected in the next weeks. Work Studies scheduled for
15	December & January Planning Commission meetings, with Public Hearing,
16	Deliberations & Recommendation to follow, with a March timeline for BoCC
17	Consideration.
18	5:36:30
19 • 20	D. Work Study, Public Hearing, Deliberations, Recommendation & Findings of Fact: 18-01374 Wozleck Open Space Application – Katharine Shaffer, DCD Planner
21	<ul> <li>Ms. Shaffer notes this will be the first of three Open Space Applications heard</li></ul>
22	tonight and provides a brief overview of the Open Space program in Kitsap
23	County. Essentially, property owners with critical areas on their land agree to
24	restrict development and preserve the natural state for future generations and in
25	exchange they are granted a decreased property tax rate.
26	<ul> <li>Ms. Shaffer provides a brief overview of the Wozleck application, which seeks to</li></ul>
27	transfer 8.08 acres of undeveloped land to current use open space, referencing
28	the Critical Areas and multiple sensitive characteristics. This transfer will result in
29	a decrease of \$753 in annual taxes.
30	<ul> <li>Question: Mr. Shattuck asks why the condition states trail access will be provided</li></ul>
31	for educational use, but the applicant expresses desire to provide public access.
32	<ul> <li>Answer: Ms. Shaffer states language referencing trail access for</li></ul>
33	educational use is part of a standard condition, required for the
34	program, but does not limit the owner from allowing wider public
35	access or use.
36	<ul> <li>Question/Answer: Mr. Murphy asks, and Ms. Shaffer believes the liability</li></ul>
37	regarding the slopes would be a civil liability issue for the property owner.

1	5:45:50
2	PUBLIC HEARING OPENED
3	SPEAKER: Kevin Killbridge, neighbor of the Wozleck
4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Mr. Killbridge submitted a letter in support of the project, but also wonders about the access driveway, which serves both the subject property and the neighbor to the South. Was there any objection by that neighbor? It will likely generate more cars.</li> </ul>
8 9	<ul> <li>Ms. Shaffer notes that letters of support were included in the application, and one of those was from the neighbor to the south.</li> </ul>
10	5:46:35
11	PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED
12 13	<ul> <li>Motion: Tom Nevins moves to recommend approval of the 18-01374 Wozleck Open Space application.</li> </ul>
14	<ul> <li>Second: Jim Svensson seconds.</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
16	5:47:00
17 18	<ul> <li>E. Work Study, Public Hearing, Deliberations, Recommendation &amp; Findings of Fact: 18- 02890 Burke Open Space Application – Katharine Shaffer, DCD Planner</li> </ul>
19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Ms. Shaffer provides a brief overview of the Burke application, which seeks to transfer .10 acres of undeveloped land to current use open space, referencing the Critical Areas and multiple sensitive characteristics. This transfer will result in a decrease of \$739 in annual taxes.</li> </ul>
23	5:49:15
24	PUBLIC HEARING OPEN
25	NO SPEAKERS
26	5:50:10
27	PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED
28 29	<ul> <li>Motion: Tom Nevins moves to recommend approval of the 18-02890 Burke Open Space application.</li> </ul>
30	Second: Jim Svensson seconds.
31	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
32	

1 2	<ul> <li>F. Work Study, Public Hearing, Deliberations &amp; Recommendation &amp; Findings of Fact: 18- 03458 Aistrope Open Space Application – Katharine Shaffer, DCD Planner</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Ms. Shaffer provides a brief overview of the Aistrope application, which seeks to transfer 16 of 17.74 acres of undeveloped land to current use open space, referencing the Critical Areas and multiple sensitive characteristics. This transfer will result in a decrease of \$138 in annual taxes.</li> </ul>
7	• Question: Mr. Phillips asks why 1.74 of 17.74 acres was held out
8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Answer: Ms. Shaffer notes the owner intends to build a home on 1.74 acres at a later date. The location is not specified as geological studies and a geotechnical report have not been performed. When ready to begin developing those studies will guide where they can build, so until that time, the 1.74 acres is not identified and is essentially held floating.</li> </ul>
13	5:56:15
14	PUBLIC HEARING OPEN
15	NO SPEAKERS
16	5:56:23
17	PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED
18 19	<ul> <li>Motion: Tom Nevins moves to recommend approval of the 18-03458 Aistrope Open Space application.</li> </ul>
20	Second: Richard Shattuck seconds.
21	<ul> <li>Vote: 6 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries.</li> </ul>
22 23	<ul> <li>Findings of Fact for Open Space Applications 18-01374 Wozleck; 18-02890 Burke; and 18-03458 Aistrope have been approved and signed by acting Chair.</li> </ul>
24	5:58:20
25	H. Administrative Update – Jim Bolger, DCD Assistant Director
26 27 28 29	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger addresses liability associated with granting public access to lands through the Open Space program. The State grants the property owner immunity, in the RCW, for damages incurred on land providing educational use or scientific study, as long as no fee is charged for that use.</li> </ul>
30 31 32	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger also provides clarification that if property is designated as Open Space, and then the owner removes a portion later, for development, they would be required to pay back taxes on the portion removed.</li> </ul>
33 34 35 36	<ul> <li>Director Garbo resigned on 10/05/19, her last day will be the end of 2018, with much of her time spent on special assignments and internal tasks. Department leadership has been shared between Mr. Bolger, Assistant Director Jeff Rimack and Admin &amp; Permit Services Manager Tina Rice. The Board has asked to look at other Economic/</li> </ul>

1 2 3 4 5		Community Development models, especially in rural areas, such as Whatcom, Thurston, Snohomish and Pierce, although there are discrepancies in size, volume, and other structural and organizational metrics. Early December we will go back to the Board, and Human Resources will continue to gather input from stakeholders, community members and other regarding desired qualities in leadership of DCD.
6 7 8	•	<b>Question/Answer:</b> Mr. Phillips asks about the Planning Commission's role in this effort, and Mr. Bolger confirms HR/BoCC intends to ask for the Planning Commission's input, with communications will flow directly through those departments.
9 10 11		Mr. Bolger notes that as part of the Electronic Plan Review process, DCD will be transitioning at the end of January to a different software platform called Bluebeam Revu. The old system, called EPC, did not integrate with our other systems.
12		6:07:45
13 14		Action Exercise: 2018 Comprehensive Plan Amendment (CPA) Update Process – Jim DCD Assistant Director
15 16		With the 2019 process opening next week, this exercise aims to reflect on and make the process easier for everyone.
17 18 19 20	•	Mr. Bolger provides a brief update on the 2018 CPA Update process. The $10/29/18$ BoCC hearing was comparable to the Planning Commission hearings. Two sessions of deliberations concluded yesterday with a few adjustments made to Culbertson on the Mineral Resource Overlay. The $12/10/18$ meeting will be for decision only.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	•	One of the biggest internal and external frustrations voiced was the very complicated process used in this year's update, which seemed to deviate from previous years. The Planning & Environmental Programs (PEP) Staff did a great deal of research and preparation to be sure our policies and procedures were compliant with County Code, Title 21 and the Growth Management Act for this year's CPA Update process. We also found that in past years, other guidelines and practices for the regular updates were followed, but this was the first year we actually put Title 21 in practice.
28		6:42:00
29 30 31	•	Mr. Bolger notes that Staff created review materials, including this table breaking out some of the major categories we'd like to look at first, which are: Materials, Presentations, Procedures, and Venue.
32	•	Materials
33 34	•	Materials includes things like the updated Staff Report Format, Materials Binder (hard copy and electronic), and Supplemental Materials.
35 36 37		<ul> <li>Mr. Shattuck found it difficult to put together the main materials and the supplemental materials, especially as revisions were made with Staff Reports and Findings. Culbertson was a good example, the Staff Report was</li> </ul>

1 2 3 4 5	good, testimony was taken based on the Staff Report, but the Findings didn't seem to match up with the materials provided to start. Specific references were made to property values in the Findings, but not present in the Staff Report or original materials. It is difficult to make findings when we didn't have the property value factor to consider during the process.
6 7	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger asks if other members felt that the Staff Report language which laid out compatibility was too boilerplate and didn't have enough specifics.</li> </ul>
8 9 10	<ul> <li>Mr. Nevins agrees that the difference on compatibility between the two was addressed in discussion but feels it should be in written form for clarity.</li> <li>Verbal is not enough in these matters.</li> </ul>
11	6:18:05
12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Chair Allen does not want the big, paper binder. Electronic format is best, but it was difficult to keep up with updates when they came in single pages to track and exchange. Especially when the electronic format is being used – just send the latest document so it can be viewed as a whole.</li> </ul>
16 17 18	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger asks, and Chair Allen confirms her preference, instead of sending change addendums as individual pages to insert into the original document, just send a complete, revised version. Red-line changes are good, if needed.</li> </ul>
19 20 21	<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips believes in an electronic format, changes are easy to see using the mark-up or final copy functions. As software updates happen often, education on how to do that would be beneficial.</li> </ul>
22 23 24	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger notes sometimes that level of control is not feasible, because the record must reflect what version was viewed or presented at the time, which is why the pdf is used, so edits cannot be made.</li> </ul>
25	Mr. Bolger asks if using the Dropbox format would be acceptable.
26 27 28	<ul> <li>Ms. Allen and Mr. Murphy agree that having a Dropbox for all the versions, broken out by subject would be useful. Use of the Dropbox for today's materials worked well, allowing for viewing and for printing if desired.</li> </ul>
29 30 31	<ul> <li>Ms. Allen notes that it is very challenging to keep up with materials, especially those as complex as the CPA Update, and the earlier Staff can send it out the better. Time is needed to review and prepare.</li> </ul>
32 33	<ul> <li>Mr. Murphy agrees some education on Dropbox would be great for all.</li> <li>Initially he preferred hardcopy, but after multiple changes, liked electronic.</li> </ul>
34 35 36	<ul> <li>Mr. Nevins and Mr. Svensson feel the hard copy is better suited for making notes as you go, especially in major cases or more complex like CPA.</li> <li>Dropbox is fine for items like today.</li> </ul>

1		6:26:35
2	•	Presentations
3		Mr. Bolger asks about speakers.
4 5 6 7		<ul> <li>The general response is that speakers were well-versed and knowledgeable.</li> <li>Multiple speakers are preferable, for change of pace and especially for lengthy presentations, but also allowed for the best suited speaker for each subject.</li> </ul>
8	•	Mr. Bolger asks about the PowerPoint presentations.
9 10		• Chair Allen prefers the best 5 slides, 2 of which are maps and doesn't want to read text.
11 12 13		<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips feels if someone is going to just read the slide to the audience, it's not needed, but a combination of a good visual presentation and the right presenter makes a difference and can be very effective.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>Mr. Murphy agrees, noting sometimes material is complex enough that it requires visual explanation or sometimes a chart is needed. The balance of what is being presented and what needs to be shown is key. This year felt like overall, a good job was done with the technical presentations, and they weren't overly long because someone read or presented too much too long.</li> </ul>
19 20 21	•	Mr. Bolger asks if there is value in Staff taking some time to prepare the public, so they can articulate their concerns in their 2 – 5 minutes of time, maybe a brief tutorial.
22 23 24 25		<ul> <li>Mr. Shattuck cautions the perception could be the Planning Commission or Staff is trying to direct public testimony. Part of the beauty of a public forum is people come and get a chance to say what they feel to people that need to hear it. Even if they don't agree, at least they got to speak openly.</li> </ul>
26 27 28		<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips agrees, and believes the Planning Commission is flexible enough to understand the public may not be well-versed in the process and asking clarifying questions can help get their point across.</li> </ul>
29 30 31		<ul> <li>Chair Allen believes it is good for the Chair to set ground rules, reminders of how the process works and time limits allotted to keep things on track. It has worked well.</li> </ul>
32 33		<ul> <li>Mr. Shattuck believes often professionals take up more time and go off track than the public in testimony.</li> </ul>
34		06:35:42
35	•	Procedures

1	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger reviews the Planning Commission's desire for more time to digest content</li></ul>
2	and materials, decision criteria tied to specifics proposal elements as opposed to a
3	general statement of compliance with code and GMA.
4	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger notes that motions have always been difficult, and recently Staff has been</li></ul>
5	providing some scripted options for the wording of motions for the BoCC to consider,
6	especially in some of the more complex situations, and how the procedures would go
7	and steps involved, according to Robert's Rules. Would this be of help or interest to
8	the Planning Commission?
9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips asks, and Mr. Bolger clarifies that it would be a combination of procedural options as well as a template on how a motion could be worded, based on different paths or direction of discussion. So instead of making all their motions and recommendations, they are deliberating through their options and then we are providing different scripted options that they can choose or modify as needed when ready to make motions.</li> </ul>
15	<ul> <li>Chair Allen feels this would be very helpful, especially in extended</li></ul>
16	proceedings like the CPA. In past positions, staff has provided some scripted
17	options, and you can use that or change on the fly, but it's sometimes easier
18	to use something as a base point.
19 20 21	<ul> <li>Mr. Murphy agrees and feels it would help to show a sequence of steps the motions would follow depending on which way it was voted. He also sees that scripting might seem like influence but doesn't see that as the intent.</li> </ul>
22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>Chair Allen asks if there are examples, Mr. Bolger notes it happened this year for some processes, and during the Critical Areas update. The examples provided to the BoCC have allowed for more granular detail after full deliberation to quickly identify the language they want to capture.</li> </ul>
26	<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips would like a one-page guide outlining how to move through</li></ul>
27	Robert's Rules of Order. Poulsbo has one posted in their Chambers.
28	<ul> <li>Chair Allen mentions a speaker named Ann (McFarland?) who makes a</li></ul>
29	presentation called Jurassic Parliament that is pretty good.
30	Mr. Bolger asks about the number of meetings, content and length.
31	<ul> <li>Mr. Phillips notes that it's not necessarily about the number of meetings,</li></ul>
32	but the time that passes with deadlines and issues still pending.
33	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger notes it is often a balancing act with the calendar to allow Staff</li></ul>
34	time to work and prepare and to make full and best use of the Planning
35	Commission's time.
36	6:38:00

1	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger asks about the effectiveness of the venue and setting for meetings.</li> </ul>
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Chair Allen and Mr. Phillips note that it can be difficult during deliberation and during discussion to be on the dais, believe a round table or different configuration could help foster conversation.</li> </ul>
5 6	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger notes that smaller sessions can be held next door in the Port Blakely Conference Room, or even here with tables arranged differently.</li> </ul>
7	6:47:43
8 9	<ul> <li>Mr. Murphy asks about logistics of holding meetings in other locations, such as Poulsbo.</li> </ul>
10 11 12	<ul> <li>Mr. Bolger will look into the requirements, as the BoCC has been able to hold meetings offsite and make decisions from alternate locations as well.</li> <li>The number may have limits, but we can explore that option.</li> </ul>
13	J. For the Good of the Order
14	<ul> <li>Mr. Svensson asks whether the December 4<sup>th</sup> meeting will be held.</li> </ul>
15	Mr. Bolger will ask the Clerk to send confirmation.
16	Time of Adjournment: 6:49:50
17	
18	Minutes approved this day of
19	
20	
21	Kim Allen, Acting Planning Commission Chair
22	Allen, Acting Flaming Commission Chair
23	
24	, White X
25	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk