











































Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None. Any future development will be subject to applicable permitting and environmental review.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

This non-project action is not likely to affect land and shoreline use.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None. Any future development will be subject to applicable permitting and environmental review.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan policy related to parking incentives has the potential to increase demand on public transit over time. The combination of removing the mixed-use requirement and revising allowed density is expected to stimulate development within the UVC zone consistent with the assumptions used for the County's 20-year land capacity analysis and Capital Facilities Plan for the Kingston Urban Growth Area. Based on the proposed amendment, increased demand on other public services are not anticipated.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

None. Any future development will be subject to applicable permitting and environmental review.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This non-project action does not conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.