

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
Kitsap County Shoreline Master Program Periodic Update 2021
2. Name of applicant:
Kitsap County Department of Community Development

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Kirvie Mesebeluu-Yobech, SMP Periodic Review Project Manager

Address: 619 Division Street – MS36

Port Orchard, WA 98366

Phone: (360) 337-5777

E-mail: kyobech@co.kitsap.wa.us

4. Date checklist prepared:

January 13, 2021

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Kitsap County Department of Community Development

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The Kitsap County SMP periodic update target adoption by the Board of County Commissioners is June 30, 2021 to meet the Washington State Department of Ecology deadline.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This is a non-project action proposal. The County is updating its SMP and Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) to ensure consistency with related State and County policies, regulations, and best available science. Site-specific applications for development within shoreline jurisdiction are considered separate actions and will be reviewed for compliance with the SMP at the time of application.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The Shoreline Master Program Periodic Update Ecology Checklist (last revised 2019), Kitsap County Code Title 15, 'Flood Hazard Areas', Chapter 19.200' Wetlands' 'Critical Areas Ordinance', Chapter 21.04 'Project Permit Application Procedures', and Title 22, Shoreline Master Program.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

This is a non-project action. Any property-specific development applications are vested to the current policies and regulations of the SMP.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Kitsap County and the Washington State Department of Ecology must approve the changes to the SMP prior to adoption of this proposal.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this

page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The County proposes to amend its SMP consistent with the requirements under the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) to review, and if necessary, revise its SMP at least once every eight years. These amendments are intended to ensure consistency between the County's SMP, laws, and guidelines that may have changed since the County last updated its SMP.

Several discretionary Critical Areas Ordinance revisions are recommended to maintain consistency with state laws and Department of Ecology guidance. Ecology updated its wetland buffer guidance to account for recalibration of the wetland rating criteria, see guidance here:

<https://apps.ecology.wa.gov/publications/summarypages/1606001.html> . The Department recommends revising KCC 19.200.220 wetland buffer tables to be consistent with Ecology's 2018 guidance and then adopt the revised Critical Areas Ordinance by reference in the Shoreline Master Program.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The update to the SMP is a county-wide non-project action and includes the waters and all land within 200 feet landward of the ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) of all marine shorelines, lakes, rivers, and streams within County limits, plus any shoreline-associated wetlands.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. Answers provided below are intended to give a general description of conditions within the SMP jurisdiction.

1. Earth [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

N/A, this is a non-project action.

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

N/A, this is a non-project action. Kitsap County contains a variety of terrain within the SMP jurisdiction.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Soils within the SMP jurisdiction vary but include sand, gravel, clay, loam, and peat and muck in associated wetlands.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which does not include any filling, excavation, or grading.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which does not include any clearing or construction.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

N/A, this is a non-project action.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action. When future projects are submitted, any erosion-related impacts created during clearing and construction activities will be mitigated in compliance with the Kitsap County Stormwater Design Manual. This requires any erosion-related impacts created during clearing and grading activities to be addressed according to a Temporary Erosion Sedimentation Control Plan (TESC), which is a standard part of engineering review and approval.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. None of the questions in this section apply.

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

N/A, this is a non-project action, therefore, no construction would take place that would generate emissions to the air.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not result in any emissions or odors.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action and there would be no emissions or other impacts to the air, therefore, no measures are proposed.

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

N/A, this is a non-project action. By definition, the SMP addresses marine shorelines, streams and rivers with a mean annual flow over 20 cubic feet per second, lakes equal to or more than 20 acres in size, and associated wetlands, floodways, and up to an additional 200 feet of floodplains contiguous with a designated floodway.

Shorelines within the County include Kitsap Lake, Island Lake, Wildcat Lake, Square Lake, Long Lake, Mace Lake, Tahuya Lake, Horseshoe Lake, Wicks Lake, Big Lake, Carney Lake, Wye Lake, Fern Lake, Tin Mine Lake, Miller Lake, Lake Symington, Lider Lake, Tiger Lake, Panther Lake, Mission Lake, Union River, Mission River, Tahuya River, Big Anderson Creek, Seabeck Creek, Big Beef Creek, Gamble Creek, Big Scandia Creek, Dogfish Creek, Grovers Creek, Minter Creek, Burley Creek, Olalla Creek, Curley Creek, Salmonberry Creek, Blackjack Creek, Gorst Creek, Coulter Creek, Chico Creek, Clear Creek, and Barker Creek.

Please see Kitsap County Shoreline Master Program Draft Appendix F of the non-project action documents.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

N/A, this is a non-project action. Impacts to surface water resources will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis for future construction projects.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which does not include filling or dredging surface water or wetlands.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

N/A, this is a non-project action.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not discharge any waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not withdraw groundwater.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not discharge any waste material into the ground from septic tanks or other sources.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action. No runoff would result directly from this periodic update.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not generate waste materials that could enter ground or surface waters.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the shoreline jurisdiction area.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action. When future projects are submitted, any water-related impacts created during clearing and construction activities will be mitigated in compliance with the Kitsap County Stormwater Design Manual and Kitsap County Code Title 12 'Storm Water Drainage'.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

___deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

___evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

___shrubs

___grass

___pasture

___crop or grain

___ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

___ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

___ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

___other types of vegetation

N/A, this is a non-project action. A variety of vegetation can be found in the

shoreline jurisdiction area, including deciduous and evergreen trees, shrubs, grass, herbs, wet soil plants, and water plants.

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
N/A, this is a non-project action. When a specific application is submitted, the kind and amount of vegetation to be removed or altered will depend on County requirements, which will be reflected in an approved landscape plan.
- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific.
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
N/A, this is a non-project action and no landscaping is proposed.
- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.
N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific.

5. Animals [\[help\]](#)

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

N/A, this is a non-project action. A variety of birds, mammals, and fish are known to have habitat within the SMP jurisdiction.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
N/A, this is a non-project action.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Kitsap County is located within the Pacific Flyway migration route utilized by waterfowl migrating north into Alaska and northern Canada. The Pacific Flyway extends from Mexico to Canada and Alaska.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
N/A, this is a non-project action.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
N/A, this is a non-project action.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A. No questions in this section apply.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?

If so, generally describe.

N/A

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?

List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?

If so, describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action proposal.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

N/A, this is a non-project action.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

N/A, this is a non-project action.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

N/A, this is a non-project action with no associated construction.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

N/A, this is a non-project action proposal and no emergency services are required.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action and no measures are necessary to reduce or control environmental health hazards.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not be affected by any noise in the area.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not result in any noise.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action and no measures are needed to reduce or control impacts.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

This is a non-project action. Answers provided below are intended to give a general description of conditions within the SMP jurisdiction.

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
Land uses include residential, recreational, industrial, multi-family, public institutional uses, and vacant land. The proposal is a non-project action. No additional constraints on land use are proposed at this time.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific.

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.
N/A, this is a non-project action.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?
N/A, this is a non-project action.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
The SMP periodic update is applied county-wide. Zoning classifications in shoreline jurisdiction include Rural Residential, Rural Protection, Rural Wooded, Forest Resource Lands, Business Center, Business Park, Industrial, Commercial, Regional Center, Neighborhood Commercial, Low Intensity Commercial, Rural Commercial, Rural Employment Center, Rural Industrial, Twelve Trees Employment Center, Greenbelt, Urban Restricted, Urban Cluster Residential, Urban Low Residential, Urban Medium Residential, Urban High Residential, Urban Village Center, and Limited Areas of More Intense Rural Development (Type I and Type III).

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Unincorporated Kitsap County Comprehensive plan designations include:

- | | |
|--|---|
| • Rural Residential, Rural Protection | • Rural Commercial |
| • Rural Wooded | • Rural Industrial |
| • Forest Resource Lands | • Urban Industrial |
| • Mineral Resource | • Urban High-intensity Commercial/Mixed Use |
| • Urban Low-Intensity Commercial/Mixed Use | • Urban Low-Density Residential |

- Urban Medium/High-Density Residential
- LAMIRD- Type I
- LAMIRD- Type II
- Poulsbo Urban Transition Area
- Public Facility
- Incorporated City
- Military
- Tribal Land

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
SMP designations include:

- Natural
- Rural Conservancy
- Shoreline Residential
- Urban Conservancy
- High Intensity
- Aquatic

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.
N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific. Portions of the SMP jurisdiction include wetlands, streams, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, frequently flooded areas, and/or geologically hazardous areas.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?
N/A, this is a non-project action.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
N/A, this is a non-project action that would not displace any people.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
N/A, this is a non-project action and no measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts are necessary.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
N/A, this is a non-project action, and no measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses are necessary.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
N/A, this is a non-project action, and no measures are necessary to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. None of the questions in this section apply.

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
N/A

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
N/A

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

10. Aesthetics [\[help\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. None of the questions in this section apply.

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N/A

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

N/A

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

N/A

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. None of the questions in this section apply.

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

N/A

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

N/A

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

N/A

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

This is a non-project action. Portions of the following parks and open spaces are located in the shoreline jurisdiction. See Kitsap County SMP, Appendix A ‘Shoreline Environment Designation Map’ and the 2018 Kitsap County Parks and Recreation Open Space Plan (PROS):

- Point No Point Lighthouse and Park
- Buck Lake and Hansville Greenway
- Port Gamble Forest Heritage Park
- North Kitsap Heritage Park
- Anderson Land Preserve
- Kitsap Memorial State Park
- Scenic Beach State Park
- Long Lake Park
- Olalla Beach
- Anderson Point
- Laughlin Cove
- Wicks Lake Park
- Coulter Creek Heritage Park

- Wildcat Lake Park
- Guillemot Cove Preserve
- Horseshoe Lake Park

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.
This is a non-project action that is not site-specific. As such, the project will not displace any existing recreational uses.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:
This is a non-project action that is not site-specific. As such, no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation will be needed, including recreational opportunities.

13. *Historic and cultural preservation* [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.
N/A, this is a non-project action that is not site-specific. Within the shoreline jurisdiction, there are numerous buildings, structures, and sites that are over 45 years old and are either listed or eligible for listing in national, state, and local preservation registers, according to the Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation online mapping tool (WISAARD).
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.
N/A, this is a non-project proposal that is not site-specific. However, two Native American tribes, the Suquamish Tribe and the Port Gamble S’Klallam Tribe, have historically inhabited the Kitsap Peninsula. As such, there may be evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance within shoreline jurisdiction.
- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.
N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not result in impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site.
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.
This is a non-project action. Development that is authorized consistent with the County’s SMP will be required to comply with County, State, and Federal requirements regarding cultural preservation.

14. *Transportation* [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
N/A, this is a non-project action.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

N/A, this is a non-project action.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not add or eliminate parking spaces.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

N/A, this is a non-project action, therefore, there would be no need for any new or improved roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action and will apply within the shoreline jurisdiction of unincorporated Kitsap County.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not generate any additional vehicular trips per day.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, and will not affect movement of agricultural or forest products.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

N/A, this is a non-project action. There is no need for any measures to reduce or control transportation impacts.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

N/A, this is a non-project action, which would not result in the need for increased public services, such as fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, and schools.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A, this is a non-project action and there is no need to reduce or control impacts on public services.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

N/A, this is a non-project action. None of the questions in this section are applicable.

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,
other _____
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service,
and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might
be needed.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Name of signee Devin Melville, Environmental Planner

Position and Agency/Organization The Watershed Company

Date Submitted: January 26, 2021

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions [\[HELP\]](#)

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the County's SMP are not anticipated to increase any of the above elements. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with legislative update to the Shoreline Management Act and other related Acts, changes to local plans/regulations, and making improvements and clarifications for Kitsap County customers and staff permit reviewers.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

The existing SMP includes restrictions on uses, activities, and development within SMP jurisdiction that prevent the discharge of water or release of toxic or hazardous substances into the water. The standard requires no net loss of environmental functions within the shoreline.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the County's SMP are not anticipated to negatively affect plants, animals, fish or marine life. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws, changes to local plans and regulations, and making clarifications to improve usability.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Proposed measures include draft shoreline regulations consistent with the Best Available Science, requirements for shoreline mitigation plan submission for specific uses and development actions, and the requirement that applicants demonstrate no net loss of ecological function as a result of their proposal during shoreline permit review.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the County's SMP are not anticipated to affect the depletion of energy or natural resources. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws, changes to local plans and regulations, making usability improvements and clarifications, and providing consistency to the County's Critical Areas Ordinance.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

The proposal will have no effect on energy conservation but is strongly linked to protecting remaining intact natural resources along the shoreline not already impacted by development.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed amendments are not likely to negatively affect parks, wilderness, or other environmentally sensitive areas. Amendment standards are anticipated to continue to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

The existing SMP establishes a standard of no net loss of environmental functions within the shoreline. This is intended to preserve and protect environmentally sensitive and protected areas through the policies and regulations in the SMP. Provisions of the SMP also encourage the restoration and enhancement of ecological functions that should positively affect environmentally sensitive and protected areas.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws, changes to local plans and regulations, and making the document accessible, clear and user friendly for county customers and the general public.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

The proposal itself aims to improve the compatibility of land use and shoreline plans.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the County's SMP are not anticipated to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws, changes to local plans and regulations, and making usability improvements and clarifications.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

No such measures are needed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This non-project proposal includes amendments associated with the periodic review of the County's SMP, which the County is required to complete under the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. Objectives of the periodic review include keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and changes to local plans regulations.