1	DDAFT
1	DRAFT
2	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PLANNING
3	COMMISSION, TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT,
4	REGARDING AMENDMENTS TO THE KITSAP COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
5	AND LAND USE MAP, CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN, KITSAP COUNTY CODE TITLE 17
6	AND ZONING MAP

7 Section 1. General Findings.

11 12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

35

36

- 8 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows:
- 9 1. Kitsap County is subject to the requirements of the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA), Chapter 36.70A RCW.
 - The GMA, RCW 36.70A.130(5), mandates that Kitsap County's Comprehensive Plan and development regulations be reviewed and, if needed, revised at least every 8-years. The most recent Kitsap County 8-year update concluded with the adoption of the 2016 Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan on June 27, 2016 by Ordinance 534-2016.
- The GMA, RCW 36.70A.130(1), also mandates that Kitsap County's Comprehensive
 Plan and development regulations be subject to continuing review and evaluation.
 - RCW 36.32.120(7) provides that the county legislative authorities shall make and enforce, by appropriate resolutions or ordinances, all such police and sanitary regulations as are not in conflict with state law.
 - 5. Kitsap County Code Chapter 21.08 sets forth a process and criteria for making amendments to the Comprehensive Plan. In making amendments, the County must consider whether the proposed amendments are consistent with and support plan elements and or development regulations, and if not, what additional amendments to the Plan and/or development regulations will be required to maintain consistency; whether the proposed amendment to the Plan and/or regulation will more closely reflect the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan; whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the Kitsap County-wide Planning Policies; and whether the proposed amendment complies with the requirements of the GMA.
 - The final docket adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on April 4, 2018 by Resolution No. 064-2018 allows for the consideration of certain amendments during Kitsap County's annual Comprehensive Plan amendment process for 2018.
- There has been public participation in the review of the proposed amendments, as
 required by the GMA, and consistent with the State Environmental Policy Act and Kitsap
 County Code.
 - 8. Public outreach regarding the proposed amendments was conducted through:
 - a. A dedicated and up-to-date web page;

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	b. Legal notices published in the official newspaper of record for Kitsap County;
2	c. Announcements posted to subscribers of www.nextdoor.com in Kitsap County;
3	d. Electronic announcements and notifications to:
4	i. Subscribers of relevant lists in the Kitsap County Electronic Notification
5	System;
6	ii. Relevant Kitsap County advisory groups; and
7	iii. Relevant local, state, and federal agencies;
8	e. Notification letters to federally recognized tribes with usual and accustomed areas
9	in Kitsap County and relevant tribal organizations:

- f. Notification signs posted at the location of site-specific amendments;
- Postcard notifications to property owners of record near site-specific amendments and other geographically limited amendments; and
- h. Meetings with various interested parties.

14 Section 2. General Procedural Findings.

10

11

12 13

24

25

- 15 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows:
- 16 1. On November 22, 2017, the Board of County Commissioners reviewed a catalog of 17 Comprehensive Plan amendments suggested by staff and interested parties and 18 determined that a review of the Comprehensive Plan was necessary in 2018.
- 19 2. On DATE, Kitsap County issued a Notice of Public Hearing in the legal publication of 20 record regarding the content of the proposed docket.
- 21 3. On December 11, 2017, following timely and effective legal notice, the Board of County 22 Commissioners held a public hearing to consider written and verbal testimony on the 23 proposed docket.
- 4. On December 27, 2017, during a regularly scheduled and properly noticed meeting, the Board of County Commissioners adopted the initial docket of amendments by Resolution 26 No. 246-2017.
- 27 5. On March 28, 2018 and April 4, 2018, during regularly scheduled and properly noticed meetings, the Board of County Commissioners reviewed the status of all the amendments 28 29 and considered potential changes to the docket.
- 30 6. On April 4, 2018, during a regularly scheduled and properly noticed meeting, the Board 31 of County Commissioners adopted the final docket of amendments by Resolution No. 32 046-2018.
- 33 7. On April 25, 2018, site-specific amendment #18-00495 (Ace Paving Co; Port Orchard 34 Sand & Gravel Co) was withdrawn by the applicant from the 2018 docket.
- 8. From June 28, 2018 through August 7, 2018, following timely and effective public 35 notification, the Department of Community Development held a public comment period 36

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	on the proposed amendments, staff reports, and State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA)
2	determinations.

- On July 10, 2018, July 11, 2018, and July 12, 2018 the Department of Community
 Development held public open houses to share and discuss the amendments with
 interested citizens.
- 10. On July 3, 2018 and July 17, 2018, during regularly scheduled and properly noticed
 meetings, the Planning Commission conducted work study sessions with the Department
 of Community Development to review and discuss the proposed amendments.
 - 11. On July 31, 2018, during a specially scheduled and property noticed meeting, the Planning Commission conducted work study sessions with the Department of Community Development to review and discuss the proposed amendments.
- 12. On DATE, Kitsap County issued a Notice of Public Hearing in the legal publication of record regarding the content of the proposed amendments.
 - 13. On July 17, 2018 and July 31, 2018, following timely and effective legal notice, the Planning Commission held a public hearing to consider written and verbal testimony on the proposed amendments.
 - 14. On August 21, 2018, September 4, 2018, and September 18, 2018, during regularly scheduled and properly noticed meetings, the Planning Commission considered the proposed amendments and made recommendations via approved motions during deliberations.
 - 15. On September 18, 2018, the Planning Commission approved findings of fact, conclusions, and recommendations on the proposed amendments during a regularly scheduled and properly noticed meeting and forwarded them to the Department of Community Development and the Board of Commissioners for consideration.

25 Section 3. Non-Motorized Facilities Plan – Findings.

- 26 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the Non-Motorized
- 27 Facilities Plan amendment:

3

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

33

34

35

- The amendment incorporates the 2018 updates to the Non-Motorized Facilities Plan
 (NMFP) into the Capital Facilities Plan; strengthens policy for implementing the NMFP
 in the Kingston urban growth area; updates the Regional Routes Maps, Bicycle Route
 Numbering Map, and Community Maps in the NMFP; and clarifies the Capital Facilities
 Plan and the NMFP.
 - The Non-Motorized Citizens Advisory Committee (NMCAC) conducted an extensive periodic review from January 2016 to March 2018 of the non-motorized routes identified in the Non-Motorized Facilities Plan (NMFP). The committee analyzed the routes based

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

- 1 on existing policies and how the non-motorized network supports connections between 2 communities as well as connections within communities.
 - 3. The NMCAC reviewed the Kingston Community Trail Plan proposed by the Kingston Citizens Advisory Council and recommended amendments that are consistent with the NMFP.
 - On June 25, 2018, the Departments of Community Development and Public Works
 published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the
 amendment as proposed.
 - On June 29, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on June 29, 2018.
 - 6. The amendment, as proposed:

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

29

30

- a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
- b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
- Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code 21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and adopted herein;
- d. Reflects current local circumstances; and
- e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County.

Section 4. Kingston Urban Village Center – Findings.

- 23 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the Kingston Urban Village 24 Center (UVC) amendment:
- The amendment removes the mixed-use requirement in the Urban Village Center (UVC)
 zone; clarifies incentive-based parking programs; removes completed Subarea Plan
 policies; and revises the allowed density in the UVC zone.
 - The Department of Community Development developed this amendment with a Kingston UVC Workgroup, which was charged with identifying and addressing priority barriers to achieving the existing vision and planned growth in the Kingston UVC zone.
- 3. On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment as proposed.
- On June 29, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act
 (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment.
 Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on
 June 29, 2018.

Commented [PB1]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F

Commented [PB2]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB3]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB4]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB5]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB6]: Include only if the amendment "complies" in the above finding. Remove if the amendment "does not comply" in the above finding.

Commented [PB7]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	5. The amendment, as proposed:		
2	a.	Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;	
3	b.	Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County	
4		Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;	
5	c.	Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code	
6		21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and	
7		adopted herein;	
8	d.	Reflects current local circumstances; and	
9	e.		
10	g =		
10	Section 5.	George's Corner LAMIRD Boundary Adjustment – Findings.	
11	The Kitsan Co	ounty Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the George's Corner	
12		andary Adjustment amendment:	
12	Li mind bot	andary regustment amendment.	
13	1. The ar	nendment adjusts the southeast boundary of the George's Corner LAMIRD to align	
14		latted parcel lines and critical areas; and re-designates and re-classifies portions of	
15		lit-zoned parcels.	
	•	•	
16	On Jul	y 17, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report	
17	analyz	ing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment as proposed.	
		45 2040 771	
18		y 17, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act	
19		A), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment.	
20		of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on	
21	July 1'	7, 2018.	

Commented [PB8]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F Commented [PB9]: Alternative finding would be: "is not

Commented [PB10]: Alternative finding would be: "is not

Commented [PB11]: Alternative finding would be: "is not

Commented [PB12]: Alternative finding would be: "does

Commented [PB13]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote'

Commented [PB14]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB15]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported'

Commented [PB16]: Alternative finding would be: "is not

Commented [PB17]: Alternative finding would be: "is not

Commented [PB18]: Alternative finding would be: "does

Commented [PB19]: Alternative finding would be: "does

Commented [PB20]: Alternative finding would be: "is not justified"

Commented [PB21]: Alternative finding would be: "will"

Commented [PB22]: Alternative finding would be: "Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will likely adversely affect the value of these properties."

adopted herein;

e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;

c. Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code

Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;

f. Is justified by changed or changing conditions;

d. Reflects current local circumstances;

a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;

4. The amendment, as proposed:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

g. Will not create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent designations; and

b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County

21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and

Will be compatible with neighboring properties and not likely adversely affect the value of those properties.

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

- The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding Public Facility Designation
 and Park Classification amendment:
 - 1. The amendment re-designates and re-classifies approximately 2,883 acres acquired in recent years by Kitsap County for the Port Gamble Forest Heritage Park.
 - 2. On July 16, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment as proposed.
 - On July 17, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on July 17, 2018.
- 12 4. The amendment, as proposed:

040-2018.

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

33

34

35

36

37

- a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
- b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
- c. Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code 21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and adopted herein;
- d. Reflects current local circumstances;
- e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;
- f. Is justified by changed or changing conditions;
 - Will not create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent designations; and
 - h. Will be compatible with neighboring properties and not likely adversely affect the value of those properties.

Section 7. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan – Findings.

- The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan amendment:
- The amendment updates the discussion and strategies sections of Chapter 6 (Parks,
 Recreation, and Open Space) of the Comprehensive Plan consistent with the new 2018
 Kitsap County Parks, Recreation, and Opens Space Plan (which is updated every 6-years)
 adopted by the Board of County Commissioners on February 26, 2018 by Resolution No.
 - 2. The 2018 Kitsap County Parks, Recreation, and Opens Space Plan was developed through an extensive public participation process, which included:
 - a. More than 1,100 comments and responses were collected through an online survey between August 23, 2017 and November 10, 2017;

Commented [PB23]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB24]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB25]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB26]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB27]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB28]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Commented [PB29]: Alternative finding would be: "is not justified"

Commented [PB30]: Alternative finding would be: "will"

Commented [PB31]: Alternative finding would be: "Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will likely adversely affect the value of these properties."

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	b.	Public open houses on October 3, 2017, October 10, 2017, and October 17, 2017;
2	c.	A public comment period (November 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017) on the
3		preliminary draft plan;
4	d.	A public comment period (January 10, 2018 – February 23, 2018) on the final
5		draft plan;
6	e.	Public meetings on February 7, 2018, February 12, 2018, and February 26, 2018;
7	£	The Vitage County Dowler and Downstion Advisory Doord hald a public bearing on

- The Kitsap County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board held a public hearing on February 21, 2018;
- g. The Board of County Commissioners held a public hearing on February 12, 2018 and February 26, 2018.
- 3. On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development and Parks Department 11 12 published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the 13 amendment as proposed.
 - 4. On June 29, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on June 29, 2018.
- 18 5. The amendment, as proposed:
- 19 a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
 - b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
 - Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code 21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and adopted herein;
 - Reflects current local circumstances; and
 - Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County.

Affordable Housing Policy Review - Findings.

- 28 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the affordable housing
- 29 amendment:

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

- 30 1. The amendment adds a new strategy (Affordable Housing Inventory and Transportation 31 Analysis) to the Comprehensive Plan.
- 32 2. On June 25, 2018, the Departments of Community Development and Human Services 33 published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the 34 amendment as proposed.
- 35 3. On June 29, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act 36 (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. 37 Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on 38 June 29, 2018.

Commented [PB32]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F.

Commented [PB33]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB34]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB35]: Alternative finding for denial/deferral would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB36]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect

Commented [PB37]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote'

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	4. The amendment, as proposed:
2	a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
3	b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County
4	Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
5	c. Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code
6	21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and
7	adopted herein;
8	d. Reflects current local circumstances; and
9	e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County.
10	Section 9. Clarifying Edits – Findings.
11	The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding the clarifying edits:
12	1. The amendment includes non-substantive edits that are for clarification or consistency
13	purposes only and do not change the intent or interpretations of policies or regulations.
14	2. On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report
15	analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment as proposed.
10	anaryzing the unrenament and recommending adoption of the unrenament as proposed.
16	3. On June 29, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act
17	(SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment.
18	Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on
19	June 29, 2018.
20	4. On August 21, 2018, the Department of Community Development submitted a revised
21	amendment to the Planning Commission in response to public comment.
22	5. The amendment, as revised:
23	a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
24	b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County
25	Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
26	c. Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code
27	21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and
28	adopted herein;
29	d. Reflects current local circumstances;
30	e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;
31	f. Is justified by changed or changing conditions;
32	
32 33	g. Will not create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent designations; and
33 34	
34 35	h. Will be compatible with neighboring properties and not likely adversely affect the value of those properties.
33	value of those properties.

Commented [PB38]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F.

Commented [PB39]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB40]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB41]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB42]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB43]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Commented [PB44]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB45]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB46]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB47]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB48]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB49]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Commented [PB50]: Alternative finding would be: "is not justified"

Commented [PB51]: Alternative finding would be: "will"

Commented [PB52]: Alternative finding would be: "Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will likely adversely affect the value of these properties."

1 <u>Section 10.</u> Site-specific Amendment #18-00369 (Richardson) – Findings.

- The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding site-specific amendment
 #18-00369 (Richardson):
 - Site-specific amendment #18-00369 requested the re-designation and re-classification of 1.33 of 3.49 acres on two split-zoned parcels within the Central Kitsap Urban Growth Area.
 - a. Landowner: Lois I. Richardson
 - b. Applicant: Mark Timkin

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- c. Parcel Tax Acct #: 232501-4-019-2000; 232501-4-064-2004
- d. Existing Land Use Designation: Urban Low Density Residential
 - e. Requested Land Use Designation: Urban High Intensity Commercial
 - f. Existing Zoning Classification: Urban Restricted (1-5 du/ac)
 - g. Requested Zoning Classification: Commercial (10-30 du/ac)
- On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment with conditions.
 - On July 5, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on July 5, 2018.
 - 4. The amendment, as conditioned:
 - a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
 - b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
 - Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code 21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and adopted herein;
 - d. Reflects current local circumstances;
 - e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;
 - f. Is justified by changed or changing conditions;
 - g. Will not create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent designations; and
 - h. Will be compatible with neighboring properties and not likely adversely affect the value of those properties.
- 35 Section 11. Site-specific Amendment #18-00431 (Ueland Tree Farm LLC) Findings.
- 36 The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding site-specific amendment
- 37 #18-00431 (Ueland Tree Farm LLC):

Commented [PB53]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB54]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB55]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB56]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB57]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB58]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Commented [PB59]: Alternative finding would be: "is not justified"

Commented [PB60]: Alternative finding would be: "will"

Commented [PB61]: Alternative finding would be: "Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will likely adversely affect the value of these properties."

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	1.	Site-specific amendment #18-00431 requested the re-designation and re-classification of
2		five parcels totaling 96.57 acres within rural Central Kitsap.
3		a. Landowner: Ueland Tree Farm, LLC
4		b. Applicant: Mark Mauren
5		c. Parcel Tax Acct #: 242401-4-005-1008; 242401-4-006-1007; 242401-4-007-
6		1006; 242401-4-008-1005; 192401-3-005-2005
7		d. Existing Land Use Designation: Forest Resource Lands
8		e. Requested Land Use Designation: Forest Resource Lands with Mineral Resource

- Overlay

 f. Existing Zoning Classification: Forest Resource Lands
 - g. Requested Zoning Classification: Forest Resource Lands with Mineral Resource Overlay
- 2. On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment as proposed.
 - On July 5, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on July 5, 2018.
- 19 4. The amendment, as proposed:

9

10

11 12

15

16

17 18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

39

40

- a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;
- b. Is consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
- c. Is consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code 21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and adopted herein;
- d. Reflects current local circumstances; and
- e. Promotes the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;
- f. Is justified by changed or changing conditions;
- g. Will not create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent designations; and
- h. Will be compatible with neighboring properties and not likely adversely affect the value of those properties.
- 33 Section 12. Site-specific Amendment #18-00490 (Culbertson) Findings.
- The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding site-specific amendment #18-00490 (Culbertson):
- Site-specific amendment #18-00490 requested the re-designation and re-classification of
 two parcels totaling 69.23 acres within rural South Kitsap and adjacent to the Bremerton
 Urban Growth Area.
 - a. Landowner: Roland Culbertson
 - b. Applicant: Kitsap Reclamation & Materials, Inc

Commented [PB62]: Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB63]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Commented [PB64]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB65]: Alternative finding would be: "is not consistent"

Commented [PB66]: Alternative finding would be: "does not reflect"

Commented [PB67]: Alternative finding would be: "does not promote"

Commented [PB68]: Alternative finding would be: "is not justified"

Commented [PB69]: Alternative finding would be: "will"

Commented [PB70]: Alternative finding would be: "Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will likely adversely affect the value of these properties."

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 c. Parcel Tax Acct #: 292401-4-029-2003; 292401-4-005-2001 d. Existing Land Use Designation: Rural Protection e. Requested Land Use Designation: Rural Protection with Mineral Resource Overlay f. Existing Zoning Classification: Rural Protection (1 DU/10 Ac) g. Requested Zoning Classification: Rural Protection with Mineral Resource Overlay 		
8 9	On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending adoption of the amendment with revisions		
10 11 12 13	(SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment.		
14 15	the state of the s		
16	Section 13. Site-specific Amendment #18-00528 (Hanley Property LLC) – Findings.		
17 18	The Kitsap County Planning Commission finds as follows regarding site-specific amendment #18-00528 (Hanley Property LLC):		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 Site-specific amendment #18-00528 requested the re-designation and re-classification of three parcels totaling 1.02 acres within the Port Orchard Urban Growth Area. Landowner: Hanley Property LLC Applicant: William Palmer Parcel Tax Acct #: 312402-1-037-2004; 312402-1-038-2003; 312402-1-039-2002 Existing Land Use Designation: Urban High Density Residential Requested Land Use Designation: Urban High Intensity Commercial Existing Zoning Classification: Urban High Residential (19-30 du/ac) Requested Zoning Classification: Commercial (10-30 du/ac) 		
28 29 30 31	 On June 25, 2018, the Department of Community Development published a staff report analyzing the amendment and recommending deferral of the proposed amendment for consideration within the context of an area-wide amendment, not a site-specific amendment. 		
32 33 34 35	 On July 5, 2018, Kitsap County, as lead agency for the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), issued a Determination of Non-Significance on the proposed amendment. Notice of the SEPA determination was published in the legal publication of record on July 5, 2018. 		

4. The amendment, as currently proposed as a site-specific amendment:a. Is supported by the Capital Facilities Plan;

36 37 **Commented [PB71]:** Formatted to include the findings required by KCC 21.08.100.F. Findings f-h only apply to map amendments.

Commented [PB72]: Alternative finding would be: "is not supported"

Planning Commission Findings of Fact & Recommendation

1	b.	Is not consistent with the GMA, the Countywide Planning Polices, the Kitsap
2		County Comprehensive Plan, and other applicable laws and policies;
3	c.	Is not consistent with the applicable decision criteria in Kitsap County Code
4		21.08.070, as demonstrated in the findings and conclusions of the staff report and
5		adopted herein;
6	d.	Does not reflect current local circumstances;
7	e.	Does not promote the public interest and welfare of the citizens of Kitsap County;
8	f.	Is not justified by changed or changing conditions;
9	g.	Will create an isolated land use designation (spot zone) unrelated to adjacent
10		designations; and
11	h.	Will not be compatible with neighboring properties and will have an uncertain
12		affect on the value of those properties.
13		
14		
15		REFORE, the Kitsap County Planning Commission recommends to the Department
16	of Communit	y Development as follows:
17	RECOMME	NDATION: [to be determined during deliberation]
		,
18		
19		BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF KITSAP COUNTY,
20	WASHINGT	TON, AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD THIS DAY
21	OF	2018.
22		
23	BY	
24	CINIA	A BUSKIRK, CHAIR
∠+	GINA	DUSKIKK, CITAIK

Commented [PB73]: Alternative finding would be: "is consistent"

Commented [PB74]: Alternative finding would be: "is consistent"

Commented [PB75]: Alternative finding would be: "does reflect"

Commented [PB76]: Alternative finding would be: "does promote"

Commented [PB77]: Alternative finding would be: "is justified"

Commented [PB78]: Alternative finding would be: "Will be compatible with neighboring properties and will not likely adversely affect the value of these properties."