

Introduction to the Planning Commission Public Comment Response Matrix

This Comment Response Matrix includes public comments received to date. The comments are organized by commenter, summary of comments received, and Department response. Column one is the number of the comment. Column two indicates the name and organization, if applicable, of the commenter. The Summary of Comment column includes a summary of each public comment. The Department Response column indicates whether a change to the proposed code amendment is recommended and associated rationale. The full written comment letters will be transmitted to the Planning Commission.

Planning Commission Public Comment Matrix: DETAILED COMMENTS					
Ref #	Name (Org)	Туре	Summary of Comment	Staff Response	
1	Levi Homes	Email	Comment on dimensional standards in <u>KCC 17.420.052</u> . Minimum lot width and depth requirements in UH zone may be prohibiting certain kinds of housing types (ex: attached single family).	No Changes Made. This change is outside of the scope of this administrative review. The County intends to look at all dimensional standards for urban residential zones as part of 2024 Comprehensive Plan update.	
2	Ron Perkerewicz	Email	Comment on proposed change to <u>KCC 17.420.060.42A</u> Non Conforming Structures. Does not agree with staff interpretation and therefore feels that the change is substantive, rather than minor.	Changes Made. After internal review of this proposed change, the interpretation of this code is not clear cut enough to make easily. Because of this, it is deferred to an update in 2023, when it can receive more analysis.	
3	Rod Malcom	Email	Regarding proposed change to <u>KCC 17.520.060.</u> They want to clarify if this will result in the proliferation of larger garages.	No Changes Made. Because this footnote only applies to issues of <i>minimum density</i> , this change will have no impact on building permits for garages, as those do not affect density	
4	Berni Kenworthy	Email	 Regarding proposed change to <u>KCC 17.520.060</u>. They want to clarify if adding the language of <i>dwelling unit</i> will make is so ADU's must meet minimum density. Concerned that this will result in urban properties no longer being able to add ADUs. If this change makes more properties required to subdivide, many will not do so because it is often infeasible to subdivide certain properties in the UL zone. 	No Changes Made. As it stands today, ADUs are not considered in the calculation of density, and therefore this change will not have an impact on ADUs.	

5	John Bissell	Email	Regarding proposed change to <u>KCC 16.40</u> Subdivisions. There	No Changes Made.
			should be clarification that preliminary subdivisions should be	
			allowed to have time extensions where there is another permit	This comment is outside of the scope of this administrative update for the year 2022,
			with a longer expiration period, such as a Development	but has been added to the County's catalog for future consideration.
			Agreement (which can run for 15 years).	