Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1	KITSAP COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION		
2	Administration Building - Commissioner's Chambers		
3	July 17 th , 2018 @ 5:30 pm		
4 5 6 7 8	These minutes are intended to provide a summary of meeting decisions and, except for motions made, should not be relied upon for specific statements from individuals at the meeting. If the reader would like to hear specific discussion, they should visit Kitsap County's Website at http://www.kitsapgov.com/dcd/pc/default.htm and listen to the audio file (to assist in locating information, time-stamps are provided below).		
10	Members present: Gina Buskirk (Chair), Karanne Gonzalez-Harless (Vice Chair), Kim Allen, Joe Phillips,		
11	Tom Nevins, Richard Shattuck, Jim Svensson		
12	Members absent: Aaron Murphy		
13 14	<u>Staff present</u> : Jim Bolger, Louisa Garbo, Darren Gurnee, Dave Ward, Liz Williams, Amanda Walston (Clerk)		
15	05:30:16		
16	A. Call Meeting to Order, Introductions		
17 18	 Chair Buskirk acknowledges the resignation of Planning Commissioner Spencer Stegmann, submitted 7/10/18. 		
19	B. Adoption of Agenda		
20	 Motion: Jim Svensson moves to adopt Agenda as presented. 		
21	 Second: JP seconds. 		
22	 Vote: 7 in favor; 0 opposed – motion carries. 		
23	C. Approval of Minutes		
24	 Motion: KGH moves to approve minutes from 07/03/18 meeting as presented. 		
25	Second: KA seconds.		
26	 Vote: 5 in favor: 2 abstain; 0 opposed – motion carries. 		
27	5:32:44		
28 29	D. Work Study: 2018 Annual Comprehensive Plan Amendment Update Process – Liz Williams, Planning & Environmental Programs Planner		
30 31 32	 Liz Williams briefly reviews the process to be followed for this Work Study, and provides an overview of the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Process thus far, including background, timeline, phasing and requirements 		
33 34	 8 of 11 proposed amendments were presented in Work Study on 07/03/18, the remaining 3 will be presented tonight with the public hearing following. 		
35 36	 July 31st will be a special meeting and continuation of the 7/17 public hearing, which is in addition to open houses held around County, and other 		

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July $17^{\rm th}$, 2018

1 2 3		opportunities for public input including electronic and social media platforms, postcard and legal (newspaper) noticing and coordination with area Tribes.
4 5	•	Anticipating PC work study following the Public Hearings on August 21^{st} and the recommendation will follow on $9/4$ or $9/18$
6 7 8		 Reviews and gives brief description of each proposed amendment (County Sponsored and Site-Specific Applications), including those which were presented at Work Study on 07/03/18
9 10	•	Ms. Williams reviews the new, standardized format for Staff Reports presented to the Planning Commission for the 2018 CPA process and going forward.
11		5:54:39
12 13	•	CHAPTER 4: George's Corner Limited Area of More Intensive Rural Development (LAMIRD) Boundary Adjustment
14 15	•	Ms. Williams briefly describes the project, referencing the provided Staff Report and noting:
16 17		 Minor adjustment to LAMIRD boundary, as proposed by DCD as a County- Sponsored amendment
18 19 20		 References map showing the proposed 0.87 acre portion currently within the boundary that would be removed, and the 0.88 swap for acreage that would be moved within the boundary for no net loss.
21 22		• The existing boundary was appealed to the Growth Management Hearings Board (GMHB), which upheld the County's boundary decision.
23 24 25 26		 Later, the corner of Miller Bay Road was subdivided and two resulting lots no longer aligned with the LAMIRD boundaries, creating split-zoned lots. Additional environmental determination and information has been made available since the original boundary creation as well.
27 28 29 30 31		 DCD recommends approval. This proposal is consistent with GMA, countywide planning policies, and decision criteria in Kitsap County Code (KCC). Overall size will not increase, the boundary will realign to exclude Critical Areas and buffers, and will aid in preventing permanent low density controlled sprawl, abnormal irregular boundaries.
32	•	CHAPTER 4 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS:
33 34	•	Karanne Gonzales-Harless asks how the County determines which applications will be sponsored and which will be submitted as individual Site –Specific applications.
35 36 37 38		 Dave Ward, DCD Planning and Environmental Programs Manager, responds that the County reviewed the case from an ongoing catalog of docket items and the Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) recommended this application be moved forward.
39 40	•	Ms. Gonzales-Harless asks for clarification on decision criteria and whether an individual can ask the County to sponsor their application.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		 Jim Bolger, DCD Assistant Director, notes there is no formal criteria or process. The BoCC considers items on an individual basis. In this instance the BoCC considered that the County action contributed to the split zoning and decided to sponsor this case. If it was a single landowner, or a different piece of property not subject to a previously completed application and action that resulted in a split zone situation, those requests would likely be taken in as an individual site-specific application.
8	•	No other questions heard
9		6:05:52
10	•	CHAPTER 5: Public Facility Designations and Park Classifications Update
11 12 13 14	•	Liz Williams briefly describes the project, referencing the provided Staff Report and Zoning Map, noting this update is essentially an edit to update the incorporation of approximately 2,883 acres acquired by Kitsap County for the Port Gamble Forest Heritage Park and redesignate the zoning appropriately.
15 16		 DCD recommends approval. This proposal is consistent with GMA, countywide planning policies, and decision criteria in KCC.
17	•	CHAPTER 5 QUESTIONS/ANSWERS:
18	•	None heard.
19		06:08:40
20	•	CHAPTER 12: CPA 18-00528 (HANLEY PROPERTY LLC)
21 22 23 24 25	•	Liz Williams briefly describes the project, referencing the provided Staff Report and Zoning Map noting this is a redesignation from Urban High Residential to Urban High Commercial, needed for infill and redevelopment and requested for expansion of existing use, which is not currently allowed in existing zone. This proposal would also require 4 TDRs (Transfer of Development Rights).
26		 DCD recommends deferral to allow for area wide review and consideration.
27 28 29		 Site is part of a larger area of fragmented zoning, this proposal would exacerbate that pattern by creating a commercial zone island within a UGA that currently has an existing surplus of similarly zoned property.
30	•	CHAPTER 12 QUESTIONS:
31 32 33	•	Chair Buskirk asks for and Ms. Williams clarifies that the 2018 docket allows for site specific amendments only, a deferral would put this application back into the catalog for the 2019 CPA process, in which the BoCC could allow for area-wide amendments.
34 35 36		 Ms. Williams notes that the intent of an annual update is to make minor tweaks and adjustments, while standard review and update intervals would typically review area-wide amendments that may have a substantive effect.
37 38		 Dave Ward notes that the County has been in contact with the City and other surrounding jurisdictions.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1 2 3	•	Karanne Gonzales-Harless asks how long the site has been a contractor storage yard, and whether the applicant has tried through other Comprehensive Plan Amendments to change this zoning.
4 5		 Darren Gurnee responds that the applicant states it has existed for over 30 years, and no record of application for a change in zoning was found.
6 7	•	Joe Phillips asks if this was a result or part of the 2016 UGA pullback that affected some property owners with existing businesses when the UGA and zoning changed
8 9		 Darren Gurnee clarifies that this area remained within the UGA, so it was not affected by the pullback, since no change occurred.
10 11	•	Jim Svensson and Karanne Gonzales-Harless ask if and Dave Ward confirms there is a active Code Enforcement complaint currently being investigated at this time
12 13		 Jim Bolger clarifies further the property owner indicated this application intended, in part, to remedy the Code Enforcement complaint.
14 15 16 17 18		 Part of DCD's recommendation for deferral would help ensure it meets the applicant's need and is compatible for the area. South Kitsap Fire uses part of the site, there have not been many neighbor or nuisance complaints. Current Land Use actions will likely be suspended until some resolution is found.
19 20 21 22		 There is agreement this is a Contractor's Storage Yard, and we are determining whether that is a legal non-conforming use within that zone. We understand they do intend to expand use, and right now the Commercial designation does not allow that.
23 24 25	•	Kim Allen asks and Mr. Bolger confirms that applications submitted are public documents and are available for viewing. Ms. Williams can send a direct link to the document, as housed on the 2018 CPA site.
26 27 28		 Mr. Bolger notes that DCD is recommending deferral to the next regular CPA Update process, and the BoCC has discretion to allow for area-wide amendments each year.
29 30 31		 Mr. Ward notes that while the current application seeks to remedy the situation for the current landowner, the amendment process must look at current and future uses.
32 33	•	Chair Buskirk defers a request to speak from the public to the Public Hearing portion, which will follow the Work Study
34	•	DISCUSSION:
35 36 37		 Tom Nevins asks, given the lengthy material provided, how DCD wishes to address a considerable amount of comments and questions from the Planning Commission for Staff.
38		 Chair Buskirk asks and Tom Nevins clarifies that the questions for Staff

39

range from simple to complex, could be covered now or in deliberations.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1 2 3			•	Kim Allen suggests submitting questions to Staff in writing, so they can compile a matrix and prepare responses to present and engage in discussion with the Planning Commission at the next meeting.
4 5		•		kirk appreciates the organization of the binder of information, given the very ume of material.
6				6:30:20
7 8	E.			018 Annual Comprehensive Plan Amendment Update Process – Liz ng & Environmental Programs Planner
9 10 11		•	opportur	kirk reviews the process for the Public Hearing and clarifies that this is an ity for the Planning Commission to listen and hear testimony. Clarifying are allowed, but deliberation is not an agenda item tonight.
12				6:31:25
13		CHAIR	BUSKIRK (PPENS THE PUBLIC HEARING
14 15 16		•		: Sally and Blake Harrison, Property Owners at 2987 and 2957 Sand Dollar directly neighboring the Culbertson site, KRM. (Submit pictures, as exhibit,
17 18			•	Neighbors for many years to KRM, and wish to share experience of living next to a quarry.
19 20 21			•	Bought and cleared land in 1992; surveyed, drilled a well, installed a drain field and built a home in 1994 with hopes to recoup some investment at retirement time, which is nearing close.
22 23 24 25 26			•	KRM began operations again around the time they moved into their home. Some of the initial blasting shook their home rattling windows, doors and things inside, like a single percussion earthquake. This only happened during the day, and by watching the news they realized it was not an earthquake, but blasting from the pit or quarry.
27 28			•	Recently registered for their call list, which notifies when blasting will occur, and has been very helpful
29 30 31 32			•	Less than 10 years after moving in, settling and cracking began. The curtain drain (references pictures) on the northeast corner of their property shattered, flooding their basement so badly it had to be dug out and repaired.
33 34 35			•	Small cracks began forming in walls of their house and deck below (references pictures), requiring repairs to cracks in beams going from upper loft to the main floor, as well as repairs to the loft floor.
36 37			•	Rock wall (references pictures) crumbled and slid down the hill which had to be replaced, at great cost, with additional drainage added.
38 39 10			•	Large and small insulated windows failed, but were eligible for replacement under original ownership warranties, although one window in the northeast corner will not open or close.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July $17^{\text{th}}\,\text{, }2018$

1	 When the quarry at the end of winter road closed, we noticed an increase in
2	activity at KRM and an extreme increase in cracking in our deck, below the
3	house, they now extend all the way across (references pictures).
4	 Their biggest worry is what happens to their well, located at the corner of
5	the property. If blasting continues and ruins their water supply, what
6	measures will be in place or available to help repair or at least mitigate the
7	damage. The settling and cracking is of concern, but the water supply is a
8	huge concern.
9	 Original plans and hopes were to build an additional single-story home on
10	the lower portion of their property, but that planned location is directly
11	next door to the new proposed site. With this issue coming up, it puts our
12	plans for retirement in flux.
13	06:38:24
14 15 16 17	 QUESTION/ANSWER: Joe Phillips asks and Sally Harrison confirms that they own two parcels off Sand Dollar Road, north of the Culbertson site. The parcel at 2957 is located directly next to the proposed site and the parcel at 2987, where they live, is just up the hill.
18	 Blake Harrison invites the Planning Commission to come visit their
19	properties if it would help provide a better perspective.
20	06:38:10
21 22	 SPEAKER: Jack Stanfill, President and Registered Agent of Chico Creek Task Force. (Submits written comments, as exhibit, to Clerk)
23	 Sharing some information on changes taking place in the last three years. In
24	2013 the water shed between the Gorst Watershed and Chico Creek
25	Watershed was located in the wrong place on the drawings for the Gorst
26	Watershed Analysis in 2012 and 2013.
27	 That was corrected, and during the process, the Department of Ecology
28	(DOE) revised and added a new area, 21, to the upper Heins Creek Basin.
29	 It is important to understand where the Watershed boundary is (references
30	map) in relation to Heins Lake and Alexander Lake, the headwaters, creek
31	and gravel Quarries B and C, in the new area 21, designated by DOE.
32	 Their revision (referencing Parametrix report in exhibit) states permitted
33	uses 'must preserve the forest cover, and not result in conversion' based on
34	the revised DOE assessment of the Gorst Watershed.
35	 That area has definitely changed since that time. It says the area is not for
36	conversion, but sediment export is high, habitat results are high, salmon
37	refuge is moderately high and is for protection of the headwaters.
38	 This is a green zone, subject to a very specific 25-page study on what that
39	watershed was intended to do. 500 acres was left out, inadvertently, but we
40	caught it and they fixed it for the protection of people in Gorst. There was

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1 2		500 acres not being calculated into the area for stormwater runoff in 2012 and 2013.
3		06:43:49
4 5		R: Mark Sandridge, Property Owner at 2880 Sand Dollar Road, neighbor ne street from the Culbertson site.
6 7 8		Bought the property in 2009 and started building with, probably incorrect, information that they weren't supposed to dynamite in the quarry. Since then there has been quite a bit of blasting.
9 10 11	•	They chose the area for quiet and solitude, but it sounds like bombs going off there, and it ruins their enjoyment of their property whenever the quarry is blasting.
12 13 14 15 16	•	He doesn't know for sure or specific on how much impact this will have, but likens extending the Mineral Resource Overlay to include these two new areas to 'letting the brakes off a big heavy truck parked at the top of a hill, it just keeps rolling until it crashes into something at the bottom' and worries a redesignation would 'get that truck moving.'
17	 Karanne 	Gonzales-Harless asks how often blasting happens.
18	•	Mr. Sandridge doesn't have dates or records on a calendar
19 20 21	•	Sally Harrison steps forward to note that blasting happens, it happens $1-2$ times per week, always during the day and sometimes you hear the blast, sometimes you feel it, some big or small.
22 23	•	Mr. Sandridge concurs, although believes he might feel more of the smaller ones from his location facing the site.
24		6:47:15
25	• SPEAKER	: Oliver Hanley, owner of Hanley Property LLC and applicant for 18-00528.
26 27 28	•	Mr. Hanley thanks the Planning Commission and believes he has been a great neighbor to have, especially compared to the South Kitsap Fire Station, which has grown about 5 times in size since the 1970's.
29 30 31	•	Sometimes an expert is someone 50 miles away from a site with a briefcase who doesn't know the area or the site. When the experts say his business is a Contractor's Storage Yard, he'd like to know where that came from.
32 33 34 35 36	•	In the 1960's. the previous owner, Charlie Ryan, opened a construction business on top of Mile Hill and developed top projects all over Port Orchard. He had bulldozers, trucks and an office and things and sometimes he used part of my property and Mr. Hanley ended up buying some of what he had when he purchased the land for his business in 1976.
37 38 39 40	•	In the early 1990's Mark Grimm (a Kitsap County Code Compliance Inspector), told Mr. Hanley his business wasn't zoned correctly and would have to shut down. Mr. Hanley had photos and history of over 49 employees and trucks running from the site in its 38 years in business.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17th, 2018

1 2 3 4		 When Charlie Ryan was the owner, it was an intense construction site, the Annapolis Fire Department bought the neighboring property, then the Fire Station came along as well as a cell tower. The Fires Station and Mr. Hanley consider each other to be good neighbors.
5 6		 Mr. Hanley never worried about zoning, as he's always been a construction company and states that Mark Grimm clarified that.
7 8 9		 A tragic accident occurred in the lower lot, so Mr. Hanley decided to enlarge the parking lot and got demolition permits, asbestos testing and a stormwater engineer who says he is enlarging, but he doesn't feel he is.
10 11 12 13 14		 Mr. Hanley has a main office downtown but still has one on this site. He feels his property rights have been jettisoned out the window after 35 years, plus probably another 20 before he was there. He states this was a construction company site 'long before many of you were born' and doesn't know where or why Contractor's Storage Yard came into play.
15 16 17		 Mr. Hanley commends DCD but doesn't understand this new zoning problem. He does not want to push this down the road, because it's a pretty closed, simple, case.
18 19 20		 He has only ever had 2 complaints in almost 40 years. A truck hit a tree root and started all this with a neighbor concern. Before that, a complaint that a building was too big, but it was properly permitted by the County.
21 22	•	Chair Buskirk asks for clarification on what is happening, as it appears there are two parcels involved in the application.
23 24 25	s	 Mr. Hanley notes that 3 related parcels are owned by him. The Fire Department inspected for 14 years, before the County took over and haven't had an inspection since.
26 27 28 29		 There are 3 rentals on the first parcel. In the back parcel, previous owner Charlie Ryan used it as part of his business with an office and trucks and equipment. When he went under, Mr. Hanley continued to use those in his own business.
30 31 32 33		 Mr. Hanley had one large building, and Mr. Ryan had a few smaller ones with an office upstairs. Trucks come in and out, but the key is that most traffic happens between 6:15 – 7:00 am and then it's quiet until they return between 5:00 – 6:00 pm.
34	•	Jim Svensson asks why Mr. Hanley is opposed to the term Contractor's Storage Yard.
35 36 37		 Mr. Hanley states while he had up to 30 – 40 trucks for a long time and material coming and going very fast, some propane and gasoline, some roofing materials, but it isn't stored for very long. We use it.
38 39		 Mr. Hanley notes he is willing to put in a covenant that the property won't be sold for any intent to change the proposes for something different.
40		6:55:26
41	•	SPEAKER: Mark Mauren, representing Ueland Tree Farm, Puyallup resident.

Kitsap County Planning Commission Minutes – July 17^{th} , 2018

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 In response to comments brought up earlier regarding the Department of Ecology study presented earlier (regarding the Gorst Watershed) was a planning document, not a regulatory document. It outlines what should be looked at and considered when reviewing a permit. It's a good document that provides good information. The issue is that the stormwater and the stream were studied when the CUP (Conditional Use Permit) was applied for and approved in 2007 when the mines were put in place. These decisions were challenged and upheld. It came up again in 2015 and was upheld again, when the CUP was revised to change the location for hauling in and out of the property, moving it to the South End at Warner, which is Industrial, instead of the North Lake, which is residential. Mr. Mauren wants to explain that they did do the studies, on the drainage and the mines to be sure it did not exacerbate any sediment, stormwater or water flow issues.
16	6:57:20
17 18 19	 Chair Buskirk adjourns this portion of the public hearing, to be resumed July 31st, 2018 at 5:30 pm
20	F. Administrative Update: Jim Bolger, DCD Director
21 22	 Jim Bolger provides an informational update regarding DCD, including the new standardized report format, requesting feedback from the Planning Commission.
23 24 25	 Mr. Bolger distributes materials referenced by Louisa Garbo, DCD Director, at the previous meeting including the Puget Sound Regional Council framework and DCD's 5- year Strategic Plan.
26	G. For the Good of the Order:
27	 Planning Commission should email questions to the Clerk, who will forward to Staff.
28	Time of Adjournment: 07:12:00
29	Minutes approved this 3 day of 2018.
31	Gina Buskirk, Planning Commission Chair
32	(Man and)
33	The case
34	Amanda Walston, Planning Commission Clerk

*